



Report Identification Number: SY-20-021

Prepared by: New York State Office of Children & Family Services

Issue Date: Nov 10, 2020

This report, prepared pursuant to section 20(5) of the Social Services Law (SSL), concerns:

- A report made to the New York Statewide Central Register of Child Abuse and Maltreatment (SCR) involving the death of a child.
- The death of a child for whom child protective services has an open case.
- The death of a child whose care and custody or custody and guardianship has been transferred to an authorized agency.
- The death of a child for whom the local department of social services has an open preventive service case.

The Office of Children and Family Services (OCFS) is mandated by section 20 of the SSL to investigate or cause for the investigation of the cause and circumstances surrounding the death, review such investigation, and prepare and issue a fatality report in regard to the categories of deaths noted above involving a child, except where a local or regional fatality review team issues a report, as authorized by law.

Such report must include: the cause of death; the identification of child protective or other services provided or actions taken regard to such child and child's family; any extraordinary or pertinent information concerning the circumstances of the child's death; whether the child or the child's family received assistance, care or services from the social services district prior to the child's death; any action or further investigation undertaken by OCFS or the social services district since the child's death; and as appropriate, recommendations for local or state administrative or policy changes.

This report contains no information that would identify the deceased child, his or her siblings, the parent, parents, or other persons legally responsible for the child, and any members of the deceased child's household.

By statute, this report will be forwarded to the social services district, chief county executive officer, chairperson of the local legislative body of the county where the child died and the social services district that had legal custody of the child, if different. Notice of the issuance of this report will be sent to the Speaker of the Assembly and the Temporary President of the Senate of the State of New York.

This report may **only** be disclosed to the public by OCFS pursuant to section 20(5) of the SSL. **It may be released by OCFS only after OCFS has determined that such disclosure is not contrary to the best interests of the deceased child's siblings or other children in the household.**

OCFS' review included an examination of actions taken by individual caseworkers and supervisors within the social services district and agencies under contract with the social services district. The observations and recommendations contained in this report reflect OCFS' assessment and the performance of these agencies.



Abbreviations

Relationships		
BM-Biological Mother	SM-Subject Mother	SC-Subject Child
BF-Biological Father	SF-Subject Father	OC-Other Child
MGM-Maternal Grand Mother	MGF-Maternal Grand Father	FF-Foster Father
PGM-Paternal Grand Mother	PGF-Paternal Grand Father	DCP-Day Care Provider
MGGM-Maternal Great Grand Mother	MGGF-Maternal Great Grand Father	PGGF-Paternal Great Grand Father
PGGM-Paternal Great Grand Mother	MA/MU-Maternal Aunt/Maternal Uncle	PA/PU-Paternal Aunt/Paternal Uncle
FM-Foster Mother	SS-Surviving Sibling	PS-Parent Sub
CH/CHN-Child/Children	OA-Other Adult	
Contacts		
LE-Law Enforcement	CW-Case Worker	CP-Case Planner
Dr.-Doctor	ME-Medical Examiner	EMS-Emergency Medical Services
DC-Day Care	FD-Fire Department	BM-Biological Mother
CPS-Child Protective Services		
Allegations		
FX-Fractures	II-Internal Injuries	L/B/W-Lacerations/Bruises/Welts
S/D/S-Swelling/Dislocation/Sprains	C/T/S-Choking/Twisting/Shaking	B/S-Burns/Scalding
P/Nx-Poisoning/ Noxious Substance	XCP-Excessive Corporal Punishment	PD/AM-Parent's Drug Alcohol Misuse
CD/A-Child's Drug/Alcohol Use	LMC-Lack of Medical Care	EdN-Educational Neglect
EN-Emotional Neglect	SA-Sexual Abuse	M/FTTH-Malnutrition/Failure-to-thrive
IF/C/S-Inadequate Food/ Clothing/ Shelter	IG-Inadequate Guardianship	LS-Lack of Supervision
Ab-Abandonment	OTH/COI-Other	
Miscellaneous		
IND-Indicated	UNF-Unfounded	SO-Sexual Offender
Sub-Substantiated	Unsub-Unsubstantiated	DV-Domestic Violence
LDSS-Local Department of Social Service	ACS-Administration for Children's Services	NYPD-New York City Police Department
PPRS-Purchased Preventive Rehabilitative Services	TANF-Temporary Assistance to Needy Families	FC-Foster Care
MH-Mental Health	ER-Emergency Room	COS-Court Ordered Services
OP-Order of Protection	RAP-Risk Assessment Profile	FASP-Family Assessment Plan
FAR-Family Assessment Response	Hx-History	Tx-Treatment
CAC-Child Advocacy Center	PIP-Program Improvement Plan	yo- year(s) old
CPR-Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation	ASTO-Allowing Sex Abuse to Occur	



Case Information

Report Type: Child Deceased
Age: 5 year(s)

Jurisdiction: St. Lawrence
Gender: Male

Date of Death: 05/22/2020
Initial Date OCFS Notified: 05/22/2020

Presenting Information

St. Lawrence County Department of Social Services (SLCDSS) received an SCR report on 5/2/20 that alleged on 5/1/20, the SC was found unresponsive in an above ground pool by the PGF. The PGM was at the home at the time the child was found in the pool. The PGF performed CPR and 911 was contacted. The child was transported to the hospital at 9:25PM and then transferred to another hospital by ambulance at 11:16PM. It was unknown who was directly supervising the child during the incident. No explanation was provided for this incident by the grandparents. As a result, the grandparents were made alleged subjects. On 5/22/20, the child died as a result of drowning in the pool on 5/1/20. The 4yo sibling, mother and father had unknown roles.

Executive Summary

This fatality report concerns the death of a 5-year-old male child that occurred on 5/22/20. The child was listed on an open CPS case at the time of his death. The investigation began on 5/2/20, after an SCR report was received with concerns that while not adequately supervised, the subject child crawled into the grandparents' pool and drowned. The child succumbed to his injuries on 5/22/20 and a report was made to the SCR with allegations of Inadequate Guardianship, Lack of Supervision and DOA/Fatality against the paternal grandparents. There were two surviving siblings, ages 11 and 4 years old, who were assessed to be safe in the care of their parents/guardians.

The subject child and 4yo sibling were in kinship guardianship with the paternal grandparents. The 11yo sibling resided with his mother, her boyfriend and their two children. Safety assessments were completed of their homes and there were no concerns identified.

On 5/2/20, SLCDSS learned of the drowning of the subject child and immediately began gathering information related to the incident. It was determined at the time of the incident, the grandmother, grandfather, 4yo sibling and subject child were home. The grandmother was assisting the 4yo in getting dressed, while the subject child was believed to be in his bedroom. The grandfather was taking a nap. At approximately 6:00PM, the grandmother was unable to locate the child and began searching inside and outside of the home. The subject child was located face down in the pool by the grandmother. The grandmother retrieved the child and called 911 and the grandfather performed CPR. Emergency medical services arrived and transported the child to the hospital.

The subject child was initially received by one hospital but was then transferred to another, where he was admitted to the intensive care unit on life support. SLCDSS regularly inquired with hospital staff regarding the medical status of the subject child. The child was initially identified to be in poor condition; however, this improved after a period of time when the child showed brain activity and attempted to breathe on his own. Ultimately, the child's condition worsened and the grandparents and father decided to remove him from life support on 5/18/20 and he passed away on 5/22/20.

An autopsy was completed and the cause of death was anoxic encephalopathy due to drowning with a contributory factor of sotos syndrome; cerebral palsy. The manner of death was accidental. SLCDSS collaborated with law enforcement regarding the incident and fatality. At the time the CPS case was determined, law enforcement had not pursued any criminal charges against the grandparents. SLCDSS utilized the Child Advocacy Center to interview the 4yo sibling. The 4yo sibling reported that she had witnessed the subject child being pulled from the pool. She reported no other CPS concerns.



SLCDSS discussed needs and services for the grandparents, father and 4yo sibling; however, the record did not reflect fatality related services were offered to the mother and 11yo sibling. SLCDSS gathered sufficient information throughout the investigation to substantiate the allegation of lack of supervision and DOA/Fatality against the grandmother. The investigation was indicated and closed on 8/25/20.

Findings Related to the CPS Investigation of the Fatality

Safety Assessment:

- **Was sufficient information gathered to make the decision recorded on the:**
 - **Approved Initial Safety Assessment?** Yes
 - **Safety assessment due at the time of determination?** Yes
- **Was the safety decision on the approved Initial Safety Assessment appropriate?** Yes

Determination:

- **Was sufficient information gathered to make determination(s) for all allegations as well as any others identified in the course of the investigation?** Yes, sufficient information was gathered to determine all allegations.
- **Was the determination made by the district to unfound or indicate appropriate?** Yes

Explain:

Casework activity was commensurate with best casework practice as outlined in the CPS manual.

Was the decision to close the case appropriate? Yes

Was casework activity commensurate with appropriate and relevant statutory or regulatory requirements? Yes

Was there sufficient documentation of supervisory consultation? Yes, the case record has detail of the consultation.

Explain:

The 11yo sibling was assessed to be safe in the care of his mother and it was determined there was no further need for CPS intervention. The 4yo sibling was assessed to be safe in the care of her grandparents and it was determined there was no further need for CPS intervention.

Required Actions Related to the Fatality

Are there Required Actions related to the compliance issue(s)? Yes No

Issue:	Timely/Adequate Seven Day Assessment
Summary:	There was no 7-day safety assessment completed in Connections.
Legal Reference:	SSL 424(3);18 NYCRR432.2(b)(3)(ii)(c)
Action:	SLCDSS will document and approve all safety assessments within the required timeframe.



At time of incident supervisor was:

- Drug Impaired
- Alcohol Impaired
- Distracted
- Impaired by disability
- Absent
- Asleep
- Impaired by illness
- Other:

Total number of deaths at incident event:

Children ages 0-18: 1
Adults: 0

Household Composition at time of Fatality

Household	Relationship	Role	Gender	Age
Deceased Child's Household	Deceased Child	Alleged Victim	Male	5 Year(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Grandparent	Alleged Perpetrator	Female	66 Year(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Grandparent	Alleged Perpetrator	Male	66 Year(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Sibling	No Role	Female	4 Year(s)
Other Household 1	Mother	No Role	Female	33 Year(s)
Other Household 2	Father	No Role	Male	36 Year(s)

LDSS Response

SLCDSS received an SCR report on 5/2/20 with allegations of LS and IG against the paternal grandparents regarding the SC. The report alleged that while not adequately supervised, the SC drowned in the grandparents' pool. SLCDSS initiated their investigation and coordinated efforts with LE. On 5/22/20, the SC passed away while in the hospital due to the drowning and a report was made to the SCR regarding the death. SLCDSS continued to gather information related to the incident and death and completed necessary casework tasks.

The SC was in guardianship of the paternal grandparents and was in their care at the time of the drowning. There was a 4yo SS who was also in the care of the grandparents. The 4yo SS stayed with a babysitter following the drowning and was assessed to be safe in her care. There was a 11yo SS who was in the care of his MO at the time of the drowning and SLCDSS determined he was safe in his mother's care.

SLCDSS interviewed the grandparents and learned of the events leading up to the SC drowning. The PGM reported that at approximately 5:30PM she brought the SC to his bedroom to play. Around 5:45PM, the PGM began getting the 4yo SS dressed. The PGF was taking a nap and the PGM believed the SC was still in his bedroom. The grandparents reported the SC was able to walk independently for short distances, but had limited mobility due to a medical diagnosis. At approximately 6:00PM, the PGM went to look for the SC and was unable to find him. The PGM continued to search and called to the PGF for help. The PGM found the SC fully clothed floating in the pool. There was an upside down bucket next to the pool and the PGM believed the SC was standing on it and fell over into the water. The pool had a gate but did not have an alarm or fence. The PGM reported the doors were locked and they had nails in place securing the windows. The PGM called 911 and the PGF performed CPR. EMS arrived and transported the SC to the hospital. The SC was admitted to the ICU where he remained on life support until 5/18/20 and passed away on 5/22/20.

SLCDSS interviewed a relative who was first to the scene after being notified of the incident on a scanner. The relative arrived and the PGF was performing CPR on the SC on the pool deck. EMS had arrived and transported the SC to the



hospital. The relative was asked about the child's ability to walk and he reported that the SC was able to climb up and down the stairs. The relative reported no concerns for the children in the grandparents' care.

SLCDSS gathered information from collaterals, including LE. Upon LE's arrival, the SC had already been taken to the hospital by EMS. LE interviewed the grandparents and FA, who reported the same matter of events as told to SLCDSS. SLCDSS met with the babysitter of the SC and 4yo SS. The babysitter reported that while the SC had been at her home previously, he had attempted to crawl out of the window and had tried to climb into their pool. In addition, the babysitter reported that two weeks prior to the SC drowning, he had gotten out of the grandparents' home and was found crawling toward their pool.

The MO and FA of the SC and 4yo SS were interviewed throughout the investigation. The MO reported several concerns regarding the children that were addressed in the initial investigation. The FA stated that despite what happened to the SC, he had no concerns with how his parents cared for his children. The MO of the 11yo SS was interviewed and reported no concerns for the level of care the grandparents provided the children. The 4yo SS was interviewed at the CAC after the SC drowned. The SS witnessed the SC being pulled from the pool and events to follow. There were no concerns of drug or alcohol use by the grandparents discovered during casework contacts.

Official Manner and Cause of Death

Official Manner: Accident

Primary Cause of Death: From an injury - external cause

Person Declaring Official Manner and Cause of Death: Medical Examiner

Multidisciplinary Investigation/Review

Was the fatality investigation conducted by a Multidisciplinary Team (MDT)? Yes

Comments: There is documentation throughout the investigation of collaboration with law enforcement and the Child Advocacy Center.

Was the fatality reviewed by an OCFS approved Child Fatality Review Team? Yes

Comments: St. Lawrence County DSS indicated in their 24-hour and 30-day fatality reports that the case would be referred to their county's CFRT.

SCR Fatality Report Summary

Alleged Victim(s)	Alleged Perpetrator(s)	Allegation(s)	Allegation Outcome
054924 - Deceased Child, Male, 5 Yrs	054925 - Grandparent, Female, 66 Year(s)	Lack of Supervision	Substantiated
054924 - Deceased Child, Male, 5 Yrs	054925 - Grandparent, Female, 66 Year(s)	Inadequate Guardianship	Unsubstantiated
054924 - Deceased Child, Male, 5 Yrs	054926 - Grandparent, Male, 66 Year(s)	DOA / Fatality	Unsubstantiated
054924 - Deceased Child, Male, 5 Yrs	054926 - Grandparent, Male, 66 Year(s)	Inadequate Guardianship	Unsubstantiated
054924 - Deceased Child, Male, 5 Yrs	054926 - Grandparent, Male, 66 Year(s)	Lack of Supervision	Unsubstantiated



Child Fatality Report

054924 - Deceased Child, Male, 5 Yrs	054925 - Grandparent, Female, 66 Year(s)	DOA / Fatality	Substantiated
--------------------------------------	--	----------------	---------------

CPS Fatality Casework/Investigative Activities

	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
All children observed?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
When appropriate, children were interviewed?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Alleged subject(s) interviewed face-to-face?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
All 'other persons named' interviewed face-to-face?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contact with source?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
All appropriate Collaterals contacted?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Was a death-scene investigation performed?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Was there discussion with all parties (youth, other household members, and staff) who were present that day (if nonverbal, observation and comments in case notes)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Coordination of investigation with law enforcement?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Was there timely entry of progress notes and other required documentation?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Fatality Safety Assessment Activities

	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
Were there any surviving siblings or other children in the household?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Was there an adequate assessment of impending or immediate danger to surviving siblings/other children in the household named in the report:				
Within 24 hours?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
At 7 days?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
At 30 days?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Was there an approved Initial Safety Assessment for all surviving siblings/ other children in the household within 24 hours?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are there any safety issues that need to be referred back to the local district?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

When safety factors were present that placed the surviving siblings/other children in the household in impending or immediate danger of serious harm, were the safety interventions, including parent/caretaker actions adequate?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	-------------------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------

Fatality Risk Assessment / Risk Assessment Profile



	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
Was the risk assessment/RAP adequate in this case?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
During the course of the investigation, was sufficient information gathered to assess risk to all surviving siblings/other children in the household?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Was there an adequate assessment of the family's need for services?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Did the protective factors in this case require the LDSS to file a petition in Family Court at any time during or after the investigation?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Were appropriate/needed services offered in this case	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Explain:
 SLCDSS made several casework contacts with the family and discussed the need for the grandparents to monitor the 4yo sibling's need for services. SLCDSS also discussed service needs for the grandparents and father and provided information regarding supportive services. During casework contacts, SLCDSS did not offer services to the mother following the death of her child.

Placement Activities in Response to the Fatality Investigation

	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
Did the safety factors in the case show the need for the surviving siblings/other children in the household be removed or placed in foster care at any time during this fatality investigation?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Were there surviving children in the household that were removed either as a result of this fatality report / investigation or for reasons unrelated to this fatality?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Legal Activity Related to the Fatality

Was there legal activity as a result of the fatality investigation? There was no legal activity.

Have any Orders of Protection been issued? No

Services Provided to the Family in Response to the Fatality

Services	Provided After Death	Offered, but Refused	Offered, Unknown if Used	Not Offered	Needed but Unavailable	N/A	CDR Lead to Referral
Bereavement counseling	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Economic support	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Funeral arrangements	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>



Housing assistance	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mental health services	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Foster care	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Health care	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Legal services	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Family planning	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Homemaking Services	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Parenting Skills	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Domestic Violence Services	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Early Intervention	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Alcohol/Substance abuse	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Child Care	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Intensive case management	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Family or others as safety resources	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Additional information, if necessary:
 It was documented that SLCDSS provided an informational flier on supportive services to the family and the child advocacy center provided information on grief counseling. There were several casework contacts documented in which SLCDSS inquired about the grandparents', father's and 4yo sibling's needs.

Were services provided to siblings or other children in the household to address any immediate needs and support their well-being in response to the fatality? Yes

Explain:
 Services for the 4yo sibling were discussed with the grandparents and information on grief counseling was provided by the child advocacy center. It was not documented that services were offered for the 11yo sibling.

Were services provided to parent(s) and other care givers to address any immediate needs related to the fatality? Yes

Explain:
 An informational flier was provided to the grandparents and father regarding supportive services and information on grief counseling was provided by the child advocacy center. It was not documented that the mother was offered services in response to the fatality.

History Prior to the Fatality

Child Information

- Did the child have a history of alleged child abuse/maltreatment? Yes
- Was the child ever placed outside of the home prior to the death? Yes
- Were there any siblings ever placed outside of the home prior to this child's death? Yes
- Was the child acutely ill during the two weeks before death? No



CPS - Investigative History Three Years Prior to the Fatality

Date of SCR Report	Alleged Victim(s)	Alleged Perpetrator(s)	Allegation(s)	Allegation Outcome	Compliance Issue(s)
05/15/2020	Sibling, Female, 4 Years	Other Adult - Babysitter , Male, 63 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Unsubstantiated	Yes
	Sibling, Female, 4 Years	Other Adult - Babysitter , Male, 63 Years	Sexual Abuse	Unsubstantiated	
	Sibling, Female, 4 Years	Other Adult - Babysitter, Female, 55 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Unsubstantiated	
	Sibling, Female, 4 Years	Other Adult - Babysitter, Female, 55 Years	Sexual Abuse	Unsubstantiated	

Report Summary:

An SCR report alleged that an unrelated home member sexually abused the 4yo sibling. Another unrelated home member was aware but failed to intervene to protect the sibling. The sibling was acting out sexually and had pain to her vaginal area. The roles of the MO and FA were unknown.

Report Determination: Unfounded

Date of Determination: 06/03/2020

Basis for Determination:

SLCDSS unsubstantiated the allegations of IG and SA because the sibling was interviewed at the child advocacy center and made no disclosures.

OCFS Review Results:

SLCDSS assessed for safety within 24-hours, completed the 7-day safety assessment on time and entered all notes contemporaneously with their event date. Face-to-face contact was documented with the FA and grandparents, whom had guardianship of the SS; however, they did not interview them regarding the allegations. SLCDSS did not document contact with any collaterals. The RAP was completed; however, it was unclear how the information was gathered, as it was not documented in the casework contact with the primary caretaker. There was no CPS history check documented. The grandparents were not added to the investigation and it was not documented they were notified of the SCR report in writing.

Are there Required Actions related to the compliance issue(s)? Yes No

Issue:

Adequacy of face-to-face contacts with the child and/or child's parents or guardians

Summary:

There was face-to-face contact with the FA and paternal grandparents, who had guardianship of the sibling; however, the interviews did not contain questions related to the allegations, safety or risk.

Legal Reference:

18 NYCRR 432.1 (o)

Action:

SLCDSS will make face-to-face contacts with a child and/or a child's parents or guardians, or activities with the child and/or the child's parents or guardians, which may include but are not limited to facilitating information gathering and analysis of safety and risk factors and determining the allegations.

Issue:

Review of CPS History

Summary:

There was no CPS history check documented.

**Legal Reference:**

18 NYCRR 432.2(b)(3)(i)

Action:

SLCDSS will review all prior CPS history within regulatory required timeframes.

Issue:

Contact/Information From Reporting/Collateral Source

Summary:

There was no documentation that collateral contacts were made during the investigation.

Legal Reference:

18 NYCRR 432.2(b)(3)(ii)(b)

Action:

SLCDSS will make diligent efforts to contact collaterals to attempt to gather relevant information as it pertains to safety, risk, and a determination of the allegations.

Issue:

Adequacy of Progress Notes

Summary:

It was not documented how the information for the RAP was gathered, as the progress note for this casework contact did not contain RAP questions. In addition, the progress note for the sibling's home assessment did not contain information related to the condition of the home.

Legal Reference:

18 NYCRR 428.5

Action:

SLCDSS will accurately document all casework activity into progress notes.

Issue:

Failure to provide notice of report

Summary:

The paternal grandparents were the legal guardians of the sibling and were not added to the investigation or notified of the SCR report in writing.

Legal Reference:

18 NYCRR 432.2(b)(3)(ii)(f)

Action:

SLCDSS will mail or deliver notification letters to subject(s), parent(s), and any other adult(s) named in the report within the first seven days following the receipt of the report. When other persons are identified as residing in the household and added to the case, they will be notified in writing as well.

Date of SCR Report	Alleged Victim(s)	Alleged Perpetrator(s)	Allegation(s)	Allegation Outcome	Compliance Issue(s)
05/15/2020	Other Child - Unrelated child, Male, 5 Years	Other Adult - Mother of the 11 yo sibling, Female, 33 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Unsubstantiated	No
	Other Child - Unrelated child, Male, 5 Years	Other Adult - Mother of the 11 yo sibling, Female, 33 Years	Lack of Supervision	Unsubstantiated	
	Other Child - Unrelated child, Male, 5 Years	Other Adult - Father to unrelated 4yo and 5 yo children, Male, 38 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Unsubstantiated	
	Other Child - Unrelated child, Male, 5 Years	Other Adult - Father to unrelated 4yo and 5 yo children, Male, 38 Years	Lack of Supervision	Unsubstantiated	



Child Fatality Report

Sibling, Female, 4 Years	Other Adult - Mother of the 11yo sibling, Female, 33 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Unsubstantiated
Sibling, Female, 4 Years	Other Adult - Mother of the 11yo sibling, Female, 33 Years	Lack of Supervision	Unsubstantiated

Report Summary:

An SCR report alleged that an unrelated child grabbed the 4yo sibling's breast area, side and poked her anus. The unrelated child's MO was aware of the behaviors and failed to adequately intervene. It was unknown if the unrelated child had displayed these behaviors in the past or if the 4yo sibling had sustained any injuries as a result. The roles of the unrelated child's father, the 11yo sibling and the 4yo unrelated child's role were unknown. The father and mother had no role.

Report Determination: Unfounded

Date of Determination: 07/06/2020

Basis for Determination:

Oswego County Department of Social Services (OCDSS) unsubstantiated the allegations, as they found no credible evidence to support them in their investigation and the children did not make any disclosures when they were interviewed.

OCFS Review Results:

OCDSS contacted the source, made necessary home visits, documented a history check, and completed all assessments in Connections timely and accurate. OCDSS documented communication with the secondary county and made appropriate requests for casework contacts. SLCDSS was assigned secondary and utilized a note from an previously opened investigation, which predated the receipt of the SCR report.

Are there Required Actions related to the compliance issue(s)? Yes No

Date of SCR Report	Alleged Victim(s)	Alleged Perpetrator(s)	Allegation(s)	Allegation Outcome	Compliance Issue(s)
05/02/2020	Deceased Child, Male, 5 Years	Grandparent, Male, 66 Years	Lack of Supervision	Unsubstantiated	No
	Sibling, Female, 4 Years	Grandparent, Male, 66 Years	Lack of Medical Care	Unsubstantiated	
	Sibling, Female, 4 Years	Grandparent, Male, 66 Years	Parents Drug / Alcohol Misuse	Unsubstantiated	
	Sibling, Female, 4 Years	Grandparent, Male, 66 Years	Poisoning / Noxious Substances	Unsubstantiated	
	Sibling, Female, 4 Years	Grandparent, Male, 66 Years	Sexual Abuse	Unsubstantiated	
	Sibling, Female, 4 Years	Grandparent, Male, 66 Years	Childs Drug / Alcohol Use	Unsubstantiated	
	Deceased Child, Male, 5 Years	Grandparent, Female, 66 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Unsubstantiated	
	Deceased Child, Male, 5 Years	Grandparent, Female, 66 Years	Internal Injuries	Unsubstantiated	
	Deceased Child, Male, 5 Years	Grandparent, Female, 66 Years	Lacerations / Bruises / Welts	Unsubstantiated	
	Deceased Child, Male, 5 Years	Grandparent, Female, 66 Years	Lack of Medical Care	Unsubstantiated	



Deceased Child, Male, 5 Years	Grandparent, Female, 66 Years	Lack of Supervision	Substantiated
Deceased Child, Male, 5 Years	Grandparent, Female, 66 Years	Parents Drug / Alcohol Misuse	Unsubstantiated
Deceased Child, Male, 5 Years	Grandparent, Female, 66 Years	Sexual Abuse	Unsubstantiated
Deceased Child, Male, 5 Years	Grandparent, Male, 66 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Unsubstantiated
Deceased Child, Male, 5 Years	Grandparent, Male, 66 Years	Internal Injuries	Unsubstantiated
Deceased Child, Male, 5 Years	Grandparent, Male, 66 Years	Lacerations / Bruises / Welts	Unsubstantiated
Deceased Child, Male, 5 Years	Grandparent, Male, 66 Years	Lack of Medical Care	Unsubstantiated
Deceased Child, Male, 5 Years	Grandparent, Male, 66 Years	Parents Drug / Alcohol Misuse	Unsubstantiated
Deceased Child, Male, 5 Years	Grandparent, Male, 66 Years	Sexual Abuse	Unsubstantiated
Sibling, Female, 4 Years	Grandparent, Female, 66 Years	Parents Drug / Alcohol Misuse	Unsubstantiated
Sibling, Female, 4 Years	Grandparent, Female, 66 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Unsubstantiated
Sibling, Female, 4 Years	Grandparent, Female, 66 Years	Lacerations / Bruises / Welts	Unsubstantiated
Sibling, Female, 4 Years	Grandparent, Female, 66 Years	Lack of Medical Care	Unsubstantiated
Sibling, Female, 4 Years	Grandparent, Female, 66 Years	Poisoning / Noxious Substances	Unsubstantiated
Sibling, Female, 4 Years	Grandparent, Female, 66 Years	Sexual Abuse	Unsubstantiated
Sibling, Female, 4 Years	Grandparent, Male, 66 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Unsubstantiated
Sibling, Female, 4 Years	Grandparent, Male, 66 Years	Lacerations / Bruises / Welts	Unsubstantiated

Report Summary:

An SCR report alleged that on May 1, 2020 at approximately 6:13PM, the PGM and PGF were caring for the SC. The SC had cerebral palsy and was unable to walk. The SC maneuvered around by crawling. The grandparents failed to adequately supervise the SC and as a result the child crawled into the pool area and entered the pool. The SC drowned in the pool. The PGF started CPR on the SC and EMS took over and were able to resuscitate him. Although resuscitated, the SC was in grave condition. There were four subsequent reports, an additional info report and a duplicate report received within two weeks of the initial SCR report. The allegations included SA, PD/AM, LMC, II, L/B/W, CD/A and P/Nx.

Report Determination: Indicated

Date of Determination: 08/25/2020

Basis for Determination:

SLCDSS substantiated the allegation of LS against the PGM because they determined the SC required a higher level of



supervision, which the PGM did not provide and the SC was able to get out of the home undetected and crawl into the pool.

OCFS Review Results:

SLCDSS conducted a thorough investigation of the allegations. There were several casework contacts made, including home visits and face-to-face interviews. In addition, there were numerous collateral contacts made during the investigation. All assessments were completed accurately. Notes were entered contemporaneously with their event date. Concerns were appropriately addressed with the family and services were offered.

Are there Required Actions related to the compliance issue(s)? Yes No

Date of SCR Report	Alleged Victim(s)	Alleged Perpetrator(s)	Allegation(s)	Allegation Outcome	Compliance Issue(s)
12/07/2018	Sibling, Male, 9 Years	Other Adult - Mother of 9yo sibling and unrelated 2yo and 4yo, Female, 32 Years	Inadequate Food / Clothing / Shelter	Unsubstantiated	Yes
	Sibling, Male, 9 Years	Other Adult - Mother of 9yo sibling and unrelated 2yo and 4yo, Female, 32 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Unsubstantiated	
	Other Child - Unrelated , Male, 4 Years	Other Adult - Mother of 9yo sibling and unrelated 2yo and 4yo, Female, 32 Years	Inadequate Food / Clothing / Shelter	Unsubstantiated	
	Other Child - Unrelated , Male, 4 Years	Other Adult - Mother of 9yo sibling and unrelated 2yo and 4yo, Female, 32 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Unsubstantiated	
	Other Child - Unrelated , Female, 2 Years	Other Adult - Mother of 9yo sibling and unrelated 2yo and 4yo, Female, 32 Years	Inadequate Food / Clothing / Shelter	Unsubstantiated	
	Other Child - Unrelated , Female, 2 Years	Other Adult - Mother of 9yo sibling and unrelated 2yo and 4yo, Female, 32 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Unsubstantiated	
	Sibling, Male, 9 Years	Other Adult - Father of unrelated 2yo and 4yo, Male, 36 Years	Inadequate Food / Clothing / Shelter	Unsubstantiated	
	Sibling, Male, 9 Years	Other Adult - Father of unrelated 2yo and 4yo, Male, 36 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Unsubstantiated	
	Other Child - Unrelated , Male, 4 Years	Other Adult - Father of unrelated 2yo and 4yo, Male, 36 Years	Inadequate Food / Clothing / Shelter	Unsubstantiated	
	Other Child - Unrelated , Male, 4 Years	Other Adult - Father of unrelated 2yo and 4yo, Male, 36 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Unsubstantiated	
	Other Child - Unrelated , Female, 2 Years	Other Adult - Father of unrelated 2yo and 4yo, Male, 36 Years	Inadequate Food / Clothing / Shelter	Unsubstantiated	
	Other Child - Unrelated , Female, 2 Years	Other Adult - Father of unrelated 2yo and 4yo, Male, 36 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Unsubstantiated	



Sibling, Male, 9 Years	Other Adult - Mother of 9yo sibling and unrelated 2yo and 4yo, Female, 32 Years	Lack of Medical Care	Unsubstantiated
Sibling, Male, 9 Years	Other Adult - Father of unrelated 2yo and 4yo, Male, 36 Years	Lack of Medical Care	Unsubstantiated

Report Summary:

An SCR report alleged that the home that the 9yo SS and an unrelated 4yo and 2yo lived in with their MO and FA was filthy and dirty. The home had mice, rats and other rodents in it. The home was very cluttered and there was no clear walking path in the home for the two younger children. The SS lived on the second floor of the home by himself. The bedroom on the second floor did not have running water and the toilet was full of feces. It was unknown if the children had sustained any injuries as a result. The 2yo child sustained an injury to her vagina while being in the parents care. The SS had pain in his back and back of legs for unknown reasons and he had not received medical attention.

Report Determination: Unfounded**Date of Determination:** 03/19/2019**Basis for Determination:**

SLCDSS unsubstantiated the allegations of IF/C/S, LMC and IG after they made a home visit to the residence, spoke to medical care providers, and conducted interviews of the sibling. The home was not found to be in the condition as alleged in the SCR report during casework contacts. The 9yo sibling made no disclosures during his interview. The pediatricians reported the children had been seen for their medical issues and there were no concerns.

OCFS Review Results:

SLCDSS made contact with the source and assessed for safety within 24-hours of the receipt of the SCR report. All assessments were completed on time and accurately. The progress notes were entered contemporaneously with their event date. SLCDSS spoke to pertinent collaterals to gather information related to the safety of the children. The CPS history check was not completed within regulatory timeframes and the NOE letters were mailed late. The father was not interviewed face-to-face and there is no documentation as to why that was not accomplished. In addition, there was no supervisory consultation documented throughout the investigation other than at case closure.

Are there Required Actions related to the compliance issue(s)? Yes No

Issue:

Review of CPS History

Summary:

The CPS history check was completed on 12/27/18.

Legal Reference:

18 NYCRR 432.2(b)(3)(i)

Action:

Within 1 business day of a report, SLCDSS must review all SCR records of prior reports, including legally sealed reports, and document such. Within 5 business days, SLCDSS will review its own CPS record(s) that apply to the prior reports, including legally sealed unfounded and family assessment response reports.

Issue:

Failure to provide notice of report

Summary:

The notification of existence letters were mailed on 12/27/17.

Legal Reference:

18 NYCRR 432.2(b)(3)(ii)(f)

Action:

SLCDSS will mail or deliver notification letters to subject(s), parent(s) and other adults named in the report within the first seven days following the receipt of the report.

Issue:

Adequacy of face-to-face contacts with the child and/or child's parents or guardians

**Summary:**

A face-to-face interview with the father was not documented.

Legal Reference:

18 NYCRR 432.1 (o)

Action:

A full Child Protective investigation shall include face-to-face interviews with subjects of the report and family members of such subjects, including children named in the report. Such interviews or reasons why an interview was not possible should be documented in progress notes.

Date of SCR Report	Alleged Victim(s)	Alleged Perpetrator(s)	Allegation(s)	Allegation Outcome	Compliance Issue(s)
04/11/2018	Deceased Child, Male, 3 Years	Mother, Female, 31 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Substantiated	Yes
	Deceased Child, Male, 3 Years	Mother, Female, 31 Years	Parents Drug / Alcohol Misuse	Substantiated	
	Deceased Child, Male, 3 Years	Father, Male, 33 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Substantiated	
	Deceased Child, Male, 3 Years	Father, Male, 33 Years	Parents Drug / Alcohol Misuse	Substantiated	

Report Summary:

An SCR report alleged that the mother and father drove while under the influence of alcohol with the subject child in the vehicle. The parents got into a physical fight because the mother received a text message from her boyfriend. The parents pulled to the roadside and fought in a ditch. The subject child stood on the side of the road. The parents had drugs in their possession, used needles, cooking spoons and drug residue in the car. The father also had cocaine and the mother had track marks on both of her arms.

Report Determination: Indicated

Date of Determination: 08/05/2018

Basis for Determination:

SLCDSS substantiated the allegations of IG and PD/AM against the mother and father after they obtained law enforcement records, which supported the allegations of the report. The subject child and then 2yo sibling were on a trial discharge with the parents and were subsequently returned to their relative foster care placement with the paternal grandparents.

OCFS Review Results:

SLCDSS contacted the source and assessed for safety within 24-hours. SLCDSS immediately made an appropriate safety plan for the children following the arrest of the parents. The safety assessments and risk assessment were completed on time and accurately. SLCDSS sent notification letters and documented a CPS history check more than three months after the receipt of the SCR report. There were no notes entered in the CPS investigation between 4/20/18 and 7/31/18. There is a note entered on 7/31/18 which contained several notes that were copied from the family's open foster care case.

Are there Required Actions related to the compliance issue(s)? Yes No

Issue:

Review of CPS History

Summary:

The CPS history check was completed on 7/31/18.

Legal Reference:

18 NYCRR 432.2(b)(3)(i)

Action:



Within 1 business day of a report, SLCDSS must review all SCR records of prior reports, including legally sealed reports, and document such. Within 5 business days, SLCDSS will review its own CPS record(s) that apply to the prior reports, including legally sealed unfounded and family assessment response reports.

Issue:

Failure to provide notice of report

Summary:

The notification of existence letters were sent on 8/1/18.

Legal Reference:

18 NYCRR 432.2(b)(3)(ii)(f)

Action:

SLCDSS will mail or deliver notification letters to subject(s), parent(s) and other adults named in the report within the first seven days following the receipt of the report.

Date of SCR Report	Alleged Victim(s)	Alleged Perpetrator(s)	Allegation(s)	Allegation Outcome	Compliance Issue(s)
07/13/2017	Sibling, Male, 8 Years	Other Adult - Mother to 8yo SS, Female, 30 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Unsubstantiated	Yes
	Sibling, Male, 8 Years	Other Adult - Mother to 8yo SS, Female, 30 Years	Parents Drug / Alcohol Misuse	Unsubstantiated	

Report Summary:

An SCR report alleged that the mother to the now 11yo sibling abused crack cocaine and other illicit drugs to the point of impairment. As a result, she fell down and knocked into things in front of the sibling. It was unknown if the sibling had been physically harmed as a result. The father's role was unknown.

Report Determination: Unfounded

Date of Determination: 09/01/2017

Basis for Determination:

SLCDSS unsubstantiated the allegations of IG and PD/AM against the sibling's mother, as the sibling was interviewed and made no disclosures. The sibling's mother had been regularly drug tested by SLCDSS and initially had a positive screen, but subsequent drug screens returned negative. It was determined the sibling was being cared for by a relative when his mother had used drugs.

OCFS Review Results:

SLCDSS contacted the source, appropriately assessed for 24-hour safety, completed all assessments on time and accurately and entered notes contemporaneously with their event date. SLCDSS mailed out notification of existence letters a month after the receipt of the SCR report. A CPS history check was not completed within required time frames. It was determined that the sibling visited with the father at his home; however, there was no face-to-face interview with the father or a home visit completed at his residence. There was no supervisory consultation documented throughout the investigation.

Are there Required Actions related to the compliance issue(s)? Yes No

Issue:

Review of CPS History

Summary:

The CPS history check was completed on 7/25/17.

Legal Reference:

18 NYCRR 432.2(b)(3)(i)

Action:



Within 1 business day of a report, SLCDSS must review all SCR records of prior reports, including legally sealed reports, and document such. Within 5 business days, SLCDSS will review its own CPS record(s) that apply to the prior reports, including legally sealed unfounded and family assessment response reports.

Issue:

Failure to provide notice of report

Summary:

The notification letters were sent more than a month after the receipt of the SCR report.

Legal Reference:

18 NYCRR 432.2(b)(3)(ii)(f)

Action:

SLCDSS will mail or deliver notification letters to subject(s), parent(s) and other adults named in the report within the first seven days following the receipt of the report.

Issue:

Adequacy of face-to-face contacts with the child and/or child's parents or guardians

Summary:

It was determined that the sibling visited with his father at his home; however, there was no home visit conducted or full interview of the father completed.

Legal Reference:

18 NYCRR 432.1 (o)

Action:

SLCDSS will make efforts to make face-to-face contact with a child and/or a child's parents or guardians and document efforts that were unsuccessful.

CPS - Investigative History More Than Three Years Prior to the Fatality

Between 2011 and 2017, there were three indicated reports against the father, mother, sibling's mother and a parent substitute. Substantiated allegations included IG, LS, PD/AM, IF/C/S and were in relation to a sibling, unrelated child and the subject child. There were eight unfounded reports against the grandparents, father, mother, sibling's mother and parent substitute. Unsubstantiated allegations included IF/C/S, IG, LS, XCP, PD/AM and LMC in relation to a sibling, unrelated child and the subject child.

Known CPS History Outside of NYS

There was no known CPS history outside of NYS.

Preventive Services History

In December 2012, SLCDSS filed a neglect petition against the father and sibling's mother in relation to the now 11yo sibling and they were court ordered to complete services. The sibling subsequently went to live with the paternal grandparents via an Article 10 Direct Placement. In December 2013, the sibling returned to his mother on a temporary basis while she continued to complete services. In May 2014, the sibling returned to his mother with a 9-month Order of Supervision monitored by prevention services. In March 2015 the Prevention Services case closed. The now 11yo sibling had been with his mother for approximately a year with no safety concerns identified. In addition, the mother completed court ordered services, which included substance abuse counseling and parenting classes.



In June 2017, SLCDSS filed a neglect petition against the mother and father due to concerns of substance abuse and supervision regarding the subject child and now 4yo sibling. The parents were court ordered to complete services, which included substance abuse counseling. In October 2017, the parents had not complied with the court order and the subject child and now 4yo sibling were placed in emergency foster care with the paternal grandparents. The parents' Prevention Services case was closed and they were opened to foster care services.

Foster Care Placement History

In October 2017, the subject child and now 4yo sibling were placed in foster care with the paternal grandparents due to the parents not complying with court ordered services in their Preventive Services case. The mother was suspected to have tested positive for drugs and the father became incarcerated. The mother engaged in services and the subject child and sibling were returned to the mother via a trial discharge. In April 2018, the parents were engaged in a domestic dispute, drugs and violated the court Orders, which resulted in the subject child and now 4yo siblings return to foster care with the grandparents and supervised visits between the parents and children. In October 2018, the parents were incarcerated, following their arrest for drug related charges. The parents failed to complete court ordered services while their children were placed in foster care. The children achieved permanency through kinship guardianship with the grandparents, which was granted on 12/13/19.

Legal History Within Three Years Prior to the Fatality

Was there any legal activity within three years prior to the fatality investigation?

Family Court

Criminal Court

Order of Protection

Family Court Petition Type: FCA Article 10 - CPS

Date Filed:	Fact Finding Description:	Disposition Description:
06/01/2017	There was not a fact finding	CustodyGuardianship assigned to relative or non-relative (Article 6 non-foster care)
Respondent:	054928 Mother Female 33 Year(s)	
Comments:	On 6/1/17, SLCDSS filed a neglect petition against the mother regarding concerns of supervision and substance abuse. The mother was ordered to complete services. The subject child and now 4yo sibling remained in the mother's care. On 10/18/17, the subject child and now 4yo sibling were removed from the mother and placed in relative foster care with the paternal grandparents. On 12/13/19, the mother had not completed the court ordered services and the grandparents were awarded Kinship Guardianship of the subject child and now 4yo sibling.	

Family Court Petition Type: FCA Article 10 - CPS

Date Filed:	Fact Finding Description:	Disposition Description:
06/01/2017	There was not a fact finding	CustodyGuardianship assigned to relative or non-relative (Article 6 non-foster care)
Respondent:	054929 Father Male 36 Year(s)	
Comments:	On 6/1/17, SLCDSS filed a neglect petition against the father regarding concerns of supervision and substance abuse. The father was ordered to complete services. The subject child and now 4yo sibling remained in the mother's care and the father was not allowed to be the sole caretaker for the children.	



On 10/18/17, the subject child and now 4yo sibling were removed from the mother and placed in relative foster care with the paternal grandparents. On 12/13/19, the father had not completed the court ordered services and grandparents were awarded Kinship Guardianship of the subject child and now 4yo sibling.

Have any Orders of Protection been issued? Yes

From: 10/18/2017

To: 12/13/2019

Explain:
On 10/18/17 the subject child and 4yo sibling were removed from the mother and an order of protection was issued which allowed for supervised visits between the mother and the children. On 2/13/18, the order was modified when the children were returned to the mother through a trial discharge. On 4/11/18, the children returned to foster care with the grandparents and resumed supervised visitation with the mother. On 12/13/19 the subject child and 4yo sibling achieved permanency through guardianship with the grandparents and all Article 10 Orders were terminated.

From: 06/05/2017

To: 12/13/2019

Explain:
On 6/5/17 it was ordered that the father have supervised contact with the subject child, 11yo sibling and 4yo sibling. On 12/13/19 the subject child and 4yo sibling achieved permanency through guardianship with the grandparents and all Article 10 Orders were terminated. The 11yo sibling continued to reside with his mother.

Recommended Action(s)

Are there any recommended actions for local or state administrative or policy changes? Yes No

Are there any recommended prevention activities resulting from the review? Yes No