

Report Identification Number: NY-16-039 Prepared by: New York City Regional Office

Issue Date: 11/28/2016

This	This report, prepared pursuant to section 20(5) of the Social Services Law (SSL), concerns:				
X	A report made to the New York Statewide Central Register of Child Abuse and Maltreatment (SCR) involving the death of a child.				
	The death of a child for whom child protective services has an open case.				
	The death of a child whose care and custody or custody and guardianship has been transferred to an authorized agency.				
	The death of a child for whom the local department of social services has an open preventive service case.				

The Office of Children and Family Services (OCFS) is mandated by section 20 of the SSL to investigate or cause for the investigation of the cause and circumstances surrounding the death, review such investigation, and prepare and issue a fatality report in regard to the categories of deaths noted above involving a child, except where a local or regional fatality review team issues a report, as authorized by law.

Such report must include: the cause of death; the identification of child protective or other services provided or actions taken regard to such child and child's family; any extraordinary or pertinent information concerning the circumstances of the child's death; whether the child or the child's family received assistance, care or services from the social services district prior to the child's death; any action or further investigation undertaken by OCFS or the social services district since the child's death; and as appropriate, recommendations for local or state administrative or policy changes.

This report contains no information that would identify the deceased child, his or her siblings, the parent, parents, or other persons legally responsible for the child, and any members of the deceased child's household.

By statute, this report will be forwarded to the social services district, chief county executive officer, chairperson of the local legislative body of the county where the child died and the social services district that had legal custody of the child, if different. Notice of the issuance of this report will be sent to the Speaker of the Assembly and the Temporary President of the Senate of the State of New York.

This report may <u>only</u> be disclosed to the public by OCFS pursuant to section 20(5) of the SSL. It may be released by OCFS only after OCFS has determined that such disclosure is not contrary to the best interests of the deceased child's siblings or other children in the household.

OCFS' review included an examination of actions taken by individual caseworkers and supervisors within the social services district and agencies under contract with the social services district. The observations and recommendations contained in this report reflect OCFS' assessment and the performance of these agencies.

NY-16-039 FINAL Page 1 of 11



Abbreviations

Relationships						
BM-Biological Mother	SM-Subject Mother	SC-Subject Child				
BF-Biological Father	SF-Subject Father	OC-Other Child				
MGM-Maternal Grand Mother	MGF-Maternal Grand Father	FF-Foster Father				
PGM-Paternal Grand Mother	PGF-Paternal Grand Father	DCP-Day Care Provider				
MGGM-Maternal Great Grand Mother	MGGF-Maternal Great Grand Father	PGGF-Paternal Great Grand Father				
PGGM-Paternal Great Grand Mother	MA/MU-Maternal Aunt/Maternal Uncle	PA/PU-Paternal Aunt/Paternal Uncle				
FM-Foster Mother	SS-Surviving Sibling					

Contacts							
LE-Law Enforcement CW-Case Worker CP-Case Planner							
DrDoctor	ME-Medical Examiner	EMS-Emergency Medical Services					
DC-Day Care	FD-Fire Department	BM-Biological Mother					
CPR-Cardio-pulmonary Resuscitation							
	Allegations						
FX-Fractures	II-Internal Injuries	L/B/W-Lacerations/Bruises/Welts					
S/D/S-Swelling/Dislocation/Sprains	C/T/S-Choking/Twisting/Shaking	B/S-Burns/Scalding					
P/Nx-Poisoning/ Noxious Substance	XCP-Excessive Corporal Punishment	PD/AM-Parent's Drug Alcohol Misuse					
CD/A-Child's Drug/Alcohol Use	LMC-Lack of Medical Care	EdN-Educational Neglect					
EN-Emotional Neglect	SA-Sexual Abuse	M/FTTH-Malnutrition/Failure-to-thrive					
IF/C/S-Inadequate Food/ Clothing/ Shelter	IG-Inadequate Guardianship	LS-Lack of Supervision					
Ab-Abandonment	OTH/COI-Others						
	Miscellaneous						
IND-Indicated	UNF-Unfounded	SO-Sexual Offender					
Sub-Substantiated	Unsub-Unsubstantiated	DV-Domestic Violence					
LDSS-Local Department of Social	ACS-Administration for Children's	NYPD-New York City Police					
Service	Services	Department					
PPRS-Purchased Preventive Rehabilitative Services	TANF-Temporary Assistance to Needy Families	FC-Foster Care					
MH-Mental Health	ER-Emergency Room						

NY-16-039 FINAL Page 2 of 11



Case Information

Report Type: Child Deceased **Jurisdiction:** Kings **Date of Death:** 04/20/2016

Age: 22 day(s) Gender: Male Initial Date OCFS Notified: 04/20/2016

Presenting Information

The BM was co-sleeping with her infant child on the couch. The BM woke up and found the infant unresponsive. CPR was administered to the infant. The infant was taken to the hospital where he was pronounced dead on 4/20/16, at 6:41 A.M.

Executive Summary

On 4/20/16, the SCR registered a report alleging the death of a three-week-old infant by the BM. The allegations of the 4/20/16 report were DOA/Fatality and IG of the SC by the BM. The SS was an eighteen-month-old male.

Following the receipt of the report, ACS initiated the investigation in a timely manner and made contact with the ME, LE, Kings County ER, EMS, and family members. LE reported the BM was co-sleeping with her infant on the sofa and later awoke to find the infant unresponsive. LE received a 911 call at 6:01 A.M and upon arrival to the home, they observed the MGF administering cardiopulmonary resuscitation to the infant. EMS transported the infant to Kings County Hospital where he was pronounced dead at 6:41 A.M. ACS contacted EMS and hospital medical staff and learned that the EMS ambulance responded to the Maternal Grandparents (MGP's) home at 6:06 A.M. and transported the SC to the Kings County Hospital ER.

ACS interviewed the BM and learned that she, the eighteen-month-old SS and the SC were visiting the MGP on the day before the incident. The BM explained that she was scheduled to work very early the following morning and that the MGF would provide care to the children. On 4/20/16, she left the home at 5:00 A.M. and returned at 10:30 P.M. the same night. Both children were awake; shortly after the MGP went to bed with the eighteen-month-old and the BM fed the SC in the living room. The BM placed the SC on her chest in attempts to burp him. The BM stated that prior to falling asleep, she had contacted the BF and told him she was exhausted. At approximately 1:00 A.M., the BM checked and the SC lay in her arms on her chest, asleep and breathing. She lay back on the sofa, slightly upright with his cheek on her breast. At 6:00 A.M., the BM awoke to find the SC unresponsive with blood on his nose. The SC was still positioned on her chest with his head slightly tilted towards her arm. According to the BM, the SC was not wedged or touching the sofa. The BM informed ACS that she had received safe sleep training.

On 4/21/16, ACS interviewed the BF who was not at the MGP home at the time of the incident. The parents and the MGP all agreed that the infant showed no signs of distress; he cried only for food and was soothed when cuddled.

On 4/22/16, the ME reported the SC appeared to have a heart abnormality and there was no sign of maltreatment or abuse. On 8/16/16, the ME's final autopsy report listed the cause of death of the SC as congestive heart failure with bronchopneumonia due to patent ductus arteriosus. The manner of death was determined to be natural.

On 4/21/16, ACS contacted the children's pediatrician and later received their medical information. The SC visited the Dr on 3/29/16, 4/1/16 and 4/08/16 no medical issues were detected. The SC's last visit on 4/15/16 reflected he did not appear ill; his lungs were clear, he was responsive and pleasant. The immunizations of the SS were current. ACS

NY-16-039 FINAL Page 3 of 11

NEW YORK STATE

NYS Office of Children and Family Services - Child Fatality Report

confirmed this with the NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene.

During the investigation, ACS visited the case address and documented the home was clean with an adequate supply of food and appropriate for the SS.

On 6/30/16, ACS unsubstantiated the allegations of DOA/Fatality and IG of the SC by the BM. ACS found no credible evidence to substantiate the allegations. ACS cited the ME's report that listed the manner of death as natural. ACS wrote that the BM had met the reasonable practices to provide the minimum degree of care for the SC.

Findings Related to the CPS Investigation of the Fatality

Safety Assessment:

- Was sufficient information gathered to make the decision recorded on the:
 - o Approved Initial Safety Assessment? Yes
 - Safety assessment due at the time of determination? Yes
- Was the safety decision on the approved Initial Safety Assessment appropriate?

Determination:

- Was sufficient information gathered to make determination(s) for all allegations as well as any others identified in the course of the investigation?
- allegations. Yes

Yes

• Was the determination made by the district to unfound or indicate appropriate?

Explain:

N/A

Was the decision to close the case appropriate?

Yes

Was casework activity commensurate with appropriate and relevant statutory

Yes

or regulatory requirements? Was there sufficient documentation of supervisory consultation?

Yes, the case record has detail of

Yes, sufficient information was

gathered to determine all

the consultation.

Explain:

N/A

Required Actions Related to the Fatality

Are there Required Actions related to the compliance issue(s)? $\square Yes \square No$

Fatality-Related Information and Investigative Activities

Incident Information



Date of Death: 04/20/2016

NYS Office of Children and Family Services - Child Fatality Report

Time of Death: 06:41 AM

County where fatality inc	eident occurred:	KINGS
Was 911 or local emerger	ncy number called?	Yes
Time of Call:		06:01 AM
Did EMS to respond to th	ne scene?	Yes
At time of incident leadin	g to death, had child used alcohol or	r drugs? No
Child's activity at time of	incident:	
	☐ Working	☐ Driving / Vehicle occupant
☐ Playing	\square Eating	☐ Unknown
☐ Other		
Did child have supervisio	n at time of incident leading to deat	h? Yes
Is the caretaker listed in tall	the Household Composition? Yes - C	Caregiver
At time of incident super impaired.	visor was: Not	
Total number of deaths a Children ages 0-18: 1		

Household	Relationship	Role	Gender	Age
Deceased Child's Household	Deceased Child	Alleged Victim	Male	22 Day(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Father	No Role	Male	23 Year(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Mother	Alleged Perpetrator	Female	30 Year(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Sibling	No Role	Male	18 Month(s)

Household Composition at time of Fatality

LDSS Response

On 4/20/16, ACS responded to the report registered by the SCR regarding the death of the three-week-old male infant. ACS initiated the investigation timely by contacting the first responders, LE, the ME and hospital medical staff. ACS learned the BM summoned 911 at approximately 6:00 A.M. and LE responded to the home to find the MGF administering CPR to the SC. EMS transported the SC to Kings County Hospital where he was pronounced dead at 6:41 A.M.

According to ACS documentation, LE reported that the BM fed the SC then fell asleep on the sofa with the SC on her chest. She awoke in the morning to find blood on the SC's nose and he was unresponsive. LE found no criminality in the death and closed their case. ACS interviewed the ER Dr who found no outward indications of abuse or maltreatment on the SC.



On 4/20/16, ACS obtained information from the medical investigator who initially reported that the SC's death may have been the result of the BM co-sleeping. However, on 4/21/16, the ME reported the SC had a heart abnormality and was awaiting various tests results.

ACS interviewed both parents on 4/20/16 and they reported the SC had no medical conditions and showed no indications of distress. The parents reported the SC cried only for food and was soothed when he was cuddled. The MGF provided care to the SC and SS on the day before the incident and he reported the SC was normal. According to ACS documentation, the incident occurred at the home of the MGPs as the BM was visiting; the BF had not visited and was not at the home. On 4/21/16, ACS visited the case address; the home was described as clean with an adequate supply of food and was deemed to be appropriate for the SS.

On 4/21/16, ACS contacted the children's pediatrician and received their medical information. The SC visited the Dr on 3/29/16, 4/1/16 and 4/08/16 and no medical issues were detected. The SC was last examined on on 4/15/16. The medical records documented the SC's lungs were clear, he was responsive, pleasant and he did not appear to be ill.

On 8/16/16, the final autopsy report listed the cause of death of the SC as congestive heart failure with bronchopneumonia due to patent ductus arteriosus and the manner of death was natural.

On 8/17/16, ACS unsubstantiated the allegations of DOA/Fatality and IG of the SC by the BM. ACS' determination narrative determined there was no credible evidence to substantiate the allegations. ACS cited the ME's report that listed the manner of death as natural, and documented that the BM had met the reasonable practices to provide the minimum standard of care for the SC.

Official Manner and Cause of Death

Official Manner: Natural

Primary Cause of Death: From a medical cause

Person Declaring Official Manner and Cause of Death: Medical Examiner

Multidisciplinary Investigation/Review

Was the fatality investigation conducted by a Multidisciplinary Team (MDT)? No

Comments: ACS investigation adhered to previously approved protocols for joint investigation.

Was the fatality reviewed by an OCFS approved Child Fatality Review Team? No

Comments: New York City does not have an OCFS approved Child Fatality Review Team.

SCR Fatality Report Summary

Alleged Victim(s)	Alleged Perpetrator(s)	Allegation(s)	Allegation Outcome
031181 - Deceased Child, Male, 22	031182 - Mother, Female, 30	DOA / Fatality	Unsubstantiated
Days	Year(s)		
031181 - Deceased Child, Male, 22	031182 - Mother, Female, 30	Inadequate	Unsubstantiated

NY-16-039 FINAL Page 6 of 11



Days	Year(s)	Guardian	ship		
	CPS Fatality Casework/Investigative	Activities			
		_			
		Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
All children observed?		×			
When appropriate, childre	ren were interviewed?			×	
Alleged subject(s) intervie	ewed face-to-face?	×			
All 'other persons named'	' interviewed face-to-face?	×			
Contact with source?		×			
All appropriate Collateral	ls contacted?	×			
Was a death-scene investig	gation performed?	×			
	a all parties (youth, other household were present that day (if nonverbal, is in case notes)?	X			
Coordination of investigat	tion with law enforcement?	×			
Did the investigation adhe investigation?	ere to established protocols for a joint	×			
Was there timely entry of documentation?	progress notes and other required	×			
Additional information: ACS reviewed the necessary interviewed.	y documentation pertinent to the investigation	. The survivi	ng sibling is	too young	to be
	Fatality Safety Assessment Acti	vities			
		Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
Were there any surviving	siblings or other children in the household	? ⊠			
Was there an adequate sain the household named in	fety assessment of impending or immediatenthe the report:	danger to su	ırviving sib	olings/other	children
Within 24 hours?		×			
At 7 days?		×			
At 30 days?		×			
	nitial Safety Assessment for all surviving the household within 24 hours?	X			



Are there any safety issues that need to be referred back to the local district?		X		
	<u> </u>		T	T
When safety factors were present that placed the surviving siblings/other children in the household in impending or immediate danger of serious harm, were the safety interventions, including parent/caretaker actions adequate?			×	
Fatality Risk Assessment / Risk Assessm	ent Profile			
				Unable to
	Yes	No	N/A	Determine
Was the risk assessment/RAP adequate in this case?	×			
During the course of the investigation, was sufficient information gathered to assess risk to all surviving siblings/other children in the household?	X			
Was there an adequate assessment of the family's need for services?	×			
Did the protective factors in this case require the LDSS to file a petition in Family Court at any time during or after the investigation?		X		
Were appropriate/needed services offered in this case	×			
				•
Placement Activities in Response to the Fatali	ity Investigat	ion		
2 Meetite 12 Control of the Control	ioj in ostiguo	1011		
	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
Did the safety factors in the case show the need for the surviving siblings/other children in the household be removed or placed in foster care at any time during this fatality investigation?		X		
Were there surviving siblings/other children in the household removed as a result of this fatality report/investigation?		X		
Explain as necessary: ACS assessed the SS to be safe with the parents and the maternal grandpar	ents.			

Legal Activity Related to the Fatality

Was there legal activity as a result of the fatality investigation? There was no legal activity.

NY-16-039 FINAL Page 8 of 11



Services Provided to the Family in Response to the Fatality

Services	Provided After Death	Offered, but Refused	Offered, Unknown if Used	Needed but not Offered	Needed but Unavaliable	N/A	CDR Lead to Referral
Bereavement counseling		×					
Economic support						\boxtimes	
Funeral arrangements	X						
Housing assistance						×	
Mental health services						×	
Foster care						×	
Health care						×	
Legal services						×	
Family planning						×	
Homemaking Services						×	
Parenting Skills						\boxtimes	
Domestic Violence Services						\boxtimes	
Early Intervention						\boxtimes	
Alcohol/Substance abuse						×	
Child Care						×	
Intensive case management						×	
Family or others as safety resources						×	
Other						X	

Additional information, if necessary:

The family was given information regarding possible services resources in the community. The parents consistently declined ACS' offer of services.

Were services provided to siblings or other children in the household to address any immediate needs and support their well-being in response to the fatality? No

Explain:

ACS offered services; however, the parents refused services, including bereavement counseling.

Were services provided to parent(s) and other care givers to address any immediate needs related to the fatality? No

Explain:

ACS offered services; however, the parents refused.



□Yes ⊠No

NYS Office of Children and Family Services - Child Fatality Report

History Prior to the Fatality Child Information Did the child have a history of alleged child abuse/maltreatment? No Was there an open CPS case with this child at the time of death? No Was the child ever placed outside of the home prior to the death? No Were there any siblings ever placed outside of the home prior to this child's death? No Was the child acutely ill during the two weeks before death? No **Infants Under One Year Old During pregnancy, mother:** ☐ Had medical complications / infections ☐ Had heavy alcohol use ☐ Misused over-the-counter or prescription drugs ☐ Smoked tobacco ☐ Experienced domestic violence ☐ Used illicit drugs ☑ Was not noted in the case record to have any of the issues listed Infant was born: ☐ Drug exposed ☐ With fetal alcohol effects or syndrome ☑ With neither of the issues listed noted in case record **CPS - Investigative History Three Years Prior to the Fatality** There is no CPS investigative history in NYS within three years prior to the fatality. **CPS - Investigative History More Than Three Years Prior to the Fatality** There was no CPS History. **Known CPS History Outside of NYS** There was no CPS history outside of NYS. Required Action(s)

NY-16-039 FINAL Page 10 of 11

Are there Required Actions related to compliance issues for provisions of CPS or Preventive services?



There is no record of Preventive Services History provided to the deceased child, the deceased child's siblings, and/or the other children residing in the deceased child's household at the time of the fatality. Legal History Within Three Years Prior to the Fatality Was there any legal activity within three years prior to the fatality investigation? There was no legal activity Recommended Action(s) Are there any recommended actions for local or state administrative or policy changes? Yes No

Are there any recommended prevention activities resulting from the review? $\square Yes \boxtimes No$