

Report Identification Number: BU-15-022 Prepared by: Buffalo Regional Office

Issue Date: 3/25/2016

This	This report, prepared pursuant to section 20(5) of the Social Services Law (SSL), concerns:					
	A report made to the New York Statewide Central Register of Child Abuse and Maltreatment (SCR) involving the death of a child.					
X	The death of a child for whom child protective services has an open case.					
	The death of a child whose care and custody or custody and guardianship has been transferred to an authorized agency.					
	The death of a child for whom the local department of social services has an open preventive service case.					

The Office of Children and Family Services (OCFS) is mandated by section 20 of the SSL to investigate or cause for the investigation of the cause and circumstances surrounding the death, review such investigation, and prepare and issue a fatality report in regard to the categories of deaths noted above involving a child, except where a local or regional fatality review team issues a report, as authorized by law.

Such report must include: the cause of death; the identification of child protective or other services provided or actions taken regard to such child and child's family; any extraordinary or pertinent information concerning the circumstances of the child's death; whether the child or the child's family received assistance, care or services from the social services district prior to the child's death; any action or further investigation undertaken by OCFS or the social services district since the child's death; and as appropriate, recommendations for local or state administrative or policy changes.

This report contains no information that would identify the deceased child, his or her siblings, the parent, parents, or other persons legally responsible for the child, and any members of the deceased child's household.

By statute, this report will be forwarded to the social services district, chief county executive officer, chairperson of the local legislative body of the county where the child died and the social services district that had legal custody of the child, if different. Notice of the issuance of this report will be sent to the Speaker of the Assembly and the Temporary President of the State of New York.

This report may <u>only</u> be disclosed to the public by OCFS pursuant to section 20(5) of the SSL. It may be released by OCFS only after OCFS has determined that such disclosure is not contrary to the best interests of the deceased child's siblings or other children in the household.

OCFS' review included an examination of actions taken by individual caseworkers and supervisors within the social services district and agencies under contract with the social services district. The observations and recommendations contained in this report reflect OCFS' assessment and the performance of these agencies.

BU-15-022 FINAL Page 1 of 14



Abbreviations

Relationships						
BM-Biological Mother	SM-Subject Mother	SC-Subject Child				
BF-Biological Father	SF-Subject Father	OC-Other Child				
MGM-Maternal Grand Mother	MGF-Maternal Grand Father	FF-Foster Father				
PGM-Paternal Grand Mother	PGF-Paternal Grand Father	DCP-Day Care Provider				
MGGM-Maternal Great Grand Mother	M-Maternal Great Grand Mother MGGF-Maternal Great Grand Father PGGF-Paternal Great Grand Fath					
PGGM-Paternal Great Grand Mother	MA/MU-Maternal Aunt/Maternal Uncle	PA/PU-Paternal Aunt/Paternal Uncle				
	Contacts					
LE-Law Enforcement	CW-Case Worker	CP-Case Planner				
DrDoctor	ME-Medical Examiner	EMS-Emergency Medical Services				
DC-Day Care	FD-Fire Department	BM-Biological Mother				
CPR-Cardio-pulmonary Resuscitation	CPR-Cardio-pulmonary Resuscitation					
	Allegations					
FX-Fractures	II-Internal Injuries	L/B/W-Lacerations/Bruises/Welts				
S/D/S-Swelling/Dislocation/Sprains	C/T/S-Choking/Twisting/Shaking	B/S-Burns/Scalding				
P/Nx-Poisoning/ Noxious Substance	XCP-Excessive Corporal Punishment	PD/AM-Parent's Drug Alcohol Misuse				
CD/A-Child's Drug/Alcohol Use	LMC-Lack of Medical Care	EdN-Educational Neglect				
EN-Emotional Neglect	SA-Sexual Abuse	M/FTTH-Malnutrition/Failure-to-thrive				
IF/C/S-Inadequate Food/ Clothing/ Shelter	IG-Inadequate Guardianship	LS-Lack of Supervision				
Ab-Abandonment	OTH/COI-Others					
	Miscellaneous					
IND-Indicated	UNF-Unfounded	SO-Sexual Offender				
Sub-Substantiated	Unsub-Unsubstantiated	DV-Domestic Violence				
LDSS-Local Department of Social	ACS-Administration for Children's	NYPD-New York City Police				
Service	Services	Department				
PPRS-Purchased Preventive						
Rehabilitative Services						

Case Information

BU-15-022 FINAL Page 2 of 14



Report Type: Child Deceased **Jurisdiction:** Niagara **Date of Death:** 06/11/2015

Age: 16 year(s) Gender: Female Initial Date OCFS Notified: 06/16/2015

Presenting Information

On 6/11/15, the 16 year old, SC was a passenger on a motorboat early in the morning with her boyfriend, age 17, and a friend, age 51, when she stood up in the boat and hit her head on a bridge. The SC died of her injuries. The Regional Office was notified on 6/16/15 of the SC death by phone and the OCFS-7065 form. The boyfriend and the friend were later charged with vehicular manslaughter, driving a vessel while under the influence and possession of alcohol and marijuana. It is unknown if the SC was impaired at the time of the accident

Executive Summary

The SCR report was received on 6/6/15 just 5 days before the fatal accident. The allegations were against the BM for allowing an unnamed adult to hit the 7 year old sibling. Both the BM and the sibling denied that the sibling was hit. There were no marks observed on the sibling. There were no safety concerns regarding the sibling. Several home visits were made but the BM was uncooperative. The CW saw the sibling in school and spoke to all the persons named in the report. All appropriate collaterals were contacted. The report was unfounded on 11/25/15.

On 6/11/15, during the investigation SC was in a boating accident with her boyfriend, age 17 and another individual, age 51 just after sunrise. The SC stood up in the motor boat as it passed under a bridge. Her head hit the bridge causing a large laceration to her head which caused her death. The SC's boyfriend was under the influence of drugs and alcohol and was speeding at the time of the accident. The other adult in the boat was also under the influence of alcohol and drugs. Both were charged with Criminally Negligent Homicide and related offenses in the death of the SC.

Findings Related to the CPS Investigation of the Fatality

Safety Assessment:

• Was sufficient information gathered to make the decision recorded on the:

O Approved Initial Safety Assessment? Yes

Safety assessment due at the time of determination?
 Was the safety decision on the approved Initial Safety Assessment

Yes

appropriate?

Determination:

• Was sufficient information gathered to make determination(s) for all allegations as well as any others identified in the course of the investigation?

Yes, sufficient information was gathered to determine all allegations.

• Was the determination made by the district to unfound or indicate appropriate?

Yes

BU-15-022 FINAL Page 3 of 14



Explain:

The CW saw all individuals listed on the report. The BM was uncooperative during the investigation and would only let the CW see the sibling through the doorway. The CW saw the sibling at school who denied that anyone hit her. There were no marks seen on the sibling or the nephew.

Was the decision to close the case appropriate?

Yes Yes

Was casework activity commensurate with appropriate and relevant statutory

or regulatory requirements?

Was there sufficient documentation of supervisory consultation?

Yes, the case record has detail of

the consultation.

Explain:

The report was unfounded and closed as both the BM and the sibling denied the allegations in the report.

Required Actions Related to the Fatality

Are there Required Actions related to the compliance issue(s)? \boxtimes Yes \square No

Issue:	Timeliness of Determination					
Summary:	The SCR report was determined more than three months after the 60 day requirement.					
Legal Reference:						
Action: The district must ensure that all reports are determined within 60 days of receipt of a report from SCR.						
Issue:	Timely/Adequate 24 Hour Assessment					
Summary:	No assessment of the surviving sibling was made within 24 hours					
Legal Reference:	SSL 424(6);18 NYCRR 432.2(b)(3)(i)					
Action:	The district must develop a plan that will ensure that all children in the household are assessed for safety after the death of a child.					
Issue:	Overall Completeness and Adequacy of Investigation					
Summary:	The LDSS did not explore with the family why the SC was on the motorboat that early in the morning. There were no conversations with the EMS, LE or Medical Examiner's office of description of the scene nor the cause of death or any toxicology.					
Legal Reference:	SSL 424.6; 18 NYCRR 432.2(b)(3) and 18 NYCRR 432.2					
Action:	The district must develop a plan that will ensure that all child deaths are fully investigated.					

Fatality-Related Information and Investigative Activities

Incident Information

Date of Death: 06/11/2015 **Time of Death:** 05:00 AM

BU-15-022 FINAL Page 4 of 14



County where fatality incident	occurred:	ERIE
Was 911 or local emergency nu	mber called?	Yes
Time of Call:		Unknown
Did EMS to respond to the scen	ne?	Yes
At time of incident leading to d	eath, had child used alcohol o	or drugs? Unknown
Child's activity at time of incid	ent:	
☐ Sleeping	\square Working	☐ Driving / Vehicle occupant
☐ Playing	\square Eating	□ Unknown
☑ Other: passenger in a motor	boat	
Did child have supervision at ti Is the caretaker listed in the Ho Composition? No	· ·	th? Yes
At time of incident supervisor v	was:	
☑ Drug Impaired	☐ Absent	
☑ Alcohol Impaired	☐ Asleep	
☐ Distracted	☐ Impaired by illn	ess
☐ Impaired by disability	☐ Other:	
Total number of deaths at incident Children ages 0-18: 1	dent event:	

Household Composition at time of Fatality

Household	Relationship	Role	Gender	Age
Deceased Child's Household	Deceased Child	No Role	Female	16 Year(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Mother	No Role	Female	39 Year(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Sibling	No Role	Female	7 Year(s)
Other Household 1	Other Adult	No Role	Male	51 Year(s)
Other Household 2	Other Child	No Role	Male	17 Year(s)

LDSS Response

The CW went to the home to offer condolences and assess the safety of the other children. The caseworker was able to view the home from the doorway and did not observe any safety issues. The CW did not explore with the family why the SC was on the motorboat that early in the morning. There were no conversations with the EMS, LE or Medical Examiner's office of description of the scene nor the cause of death or any toxicology screens. There were no interviews with boyfriend or friend concerning the accident.

Official Manner and Cause of Death

BU-15-022 FINAL Page 5 of 14



Official Manner:	Accident
------------------	----------

Primary Cause of Death: From an injury - external cause

Person Declaring Official Manner and Cause of Death: Medical Examiner

Multidisciplinary Investigation/Review

Was the fatality reviewed by an OCFS approved Child Fatality Review Team? No

CPS Fatality Casework/Investigative Activities

	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
All children observed?	×			
When appropriate, children were interviewed?	×			
Contact with source?			X	
All appropriate Collaterals contacted?		X		
First Responders		×		
Emergency Room Personnel		×		
Law Enforcement		×		
Pediatrician		X		
Medical Examiner / Coroner		×		
Was a death-scene investigation performed?				×
Coordination of investigation with law enforcement?		×		
Was there timely entry of progress notes and other required documentation?	X			

Additional information:

The SC died in a boating accident which was unrelated to the allegations contained in the CPS report. The CW tried to offer services but the BM refused to let the CW into the home. The CW was able to interview the sibling at school

Fatality Safety Assessment Activities

Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine



Were there any surviving siblings or other children in the household?	X			
Was there an adequate safety assessment of impending or immediate din the household named in the report:	langer to su	ırviving sik	olings/other	· children
Within 24 hours?		×		
At 7 days?	X			
At 30 days?		×		
Was there an approved Initial Safety Assessment for all surviving siblings/ other children in the household within 24 hours?		X		
Are there any safety issues that need to be referred back to the local district?		×		
When safety factors were present that placed the surviving siblings/other children in the household in impending or immediate danger of serious harm, were the safety interventions, including parent/caretaker actions adequate?			X	
E-4-1'4- D'-1- A / D'-1- A	4 D Cl			
Fatality Risk Assessment / Risk Assessm	ent Prome			
				Unable to
	Yes	No	N/A	Determine
Was the risk assessment/RAP adequate in this case?	Yes ⊠	No	N/A	
Was the risk assessment/RAP adequate in this case? During the course of the investigation, was sufficient information gathered to assess risk to all surviving siblings/other children in the household?				Determine
During the course of the investigation, was sufficient information gathered to assess risk to all surviving siblings/other children in the	X			Determine
During the course of the investigation, was sufficient information gathered to assess risk to all surviving siblings/other children in the household?	X			Determine
During the course of the investigation, was sufficient information gathered to assess risk to all surviving siblings/other children in the household? Was there an adequate assessment of the family's need for services? Did the protective factors in this case require the LDSS to file a petition in Family Court at any time during or after the	X			Determine
During the course of the investigation, was sufficient information gathered to assess risk to all surviving siblings/other children in the household? Was there an adequate assessment of the family's need for services? Did the protective factors in this case require the LDSS to file a petition in Family Court at any time during or after the investigation?	X X			Determine
During the course of the investigation, was sufficient information gathered to assess risk to all surviving siblings/other children in the household? Was there an adequate assessment of the family's need for services? Did the protective factors in this case require the LDSS to file a petition in Family Court at any time during or after the investigation?	X			Determine
During the course of the investigation, was sufficient information gathered to assess risk to all surviving siblings/other children in the household? Was there an adequate assessment of the family's need for services? Did the protective factors in this case require the LDSS to file a petition in Family Court at any time during or after the investigation? Were appropriate/needed services offered in this case	X			
During the course of the investigation, was sufficient information gathered to assess risk to all surviving siblings/other children in the household? Was there an adequate assessment of the family's need for services? Did the protective factors in this case require the LDSS to file a petition in Family Court at any time during or after the investigation? Were appropriate/needed services offered in this case	X			Determine
During the course of the investigation, was sufficient information gathered to assess risk to all surviving siblings/other children in the household? Was there an adequate assessment of the family's need for services? Did the protective factors in this case require the LDSS to file a petition in Family Court at any time during or after the investigation? Were appropriate/needed services offered in this case	⊠ ⊠ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □			Determine Unable to
During the course of the investigation, was sufficient information gathered to assess risk to all surviving siblings/other children in the household? Was there an adequate assessment of the family's need for services? Did the protective factors in this case require the LDSS to file a petition in Family Court at any time during or after the investigation? Were appropriate/needed services offered in this case Placement Activities in Response to the Fatalian Did the safety factors in the case show the need for the surviving siblings/other children in the household be removed and placed in		ion No	N/A	Determine Unable to

BU-15-022 FINAL Page 7 of 14



HXI	าเลเท	28	necessary	•
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	•	iiccessui y	۰

The SC was in a boating accident and the other sibling was not in imminent danger in the home with her BM.

	Legal Activity Related to the Fatality						
Was there legal activity as a result of the fatality investigation? □Family Court □Order of Protection							
Criminal Ch	harge: Criminally negligent homicide Degree	e: 2					
Date Charges Filed:	Against Whom?	Date of Disposition:	Disposition:				
02/29/2016	the supervisor and boyfriend	Pending	pending				
the supervisor and boyfriend Pending Both the supervisor, age 51 and the SC's boyfriend were charged criminally. The boyfriend, who was the driver of the boat was under the influence of alcohol and marijuana. He was charged with Criminally Negligent Homicide, three counts of 2nd degree Vehicular Manslaughter and 2 counts of operating a vessel under the influence. The supervisor was charged with Criminally Negligent Homicide, 2 counts of operating a vessel under the influence, endangering and unlawful possession of a controlled substance.							

Services Provided to the Family in Response to the Fatality

Services	Provided After Death	Offered, but Refused	Offered, Unknown if Used	Needed but not Offered	Needed but Unavaliable	N/A	CDR Lead to Referral
Bereavement counseling		X					
Economic support						×	
Funeral arrangements						×	
Housing assistance						×	
Mental health services		X					
Foster care						×	
Health care						×	
Legal services						×	
Family planning						×	
Homemaking Services						×	
Parenting Skills						×	
Domestic Violence Services						×	
Early Intervention						X	

BU-15-022 FINAL Page 8 of 14



Alcohol/Substance abuse						X	
Child Care						X	
Intensive case management						X	
Family or others as safety resources						X	
Other						×	
Additional information, if necessary:							

The BM refused any services offered by the caseworker

Were services provided to siblings or other children in the household to address any immediate needs and support their well-being in response to the fatality? No

Explain:

Services were offered but the BM refused.

Were services provided to parent(s) and other care givers to address any immediate needs related to the fatality? No

Explain:

BM refused all services offered.

History Prior to the Fatality

Child Information

Did the child have a history of alleged child abuse/maltreatment? Was there an open CPS case with this child at the time of death? Yes Was the child ever placed outside of the home prior to the death? No Were there any siblings ever placed outside of the home prior to this child's death? No

Was the child acutely ill during the two weeks before death?

CPS - Investigative History Three Years Prior to the Fatality

No

Date of SCR Report	Alleged Victim(s)	Alleged Perpetrator(s)	Allegation(s)	Status/Outcome	Compliance Issue(s)
10/19/2011	8085 - Sibling, Male, 15 Years	8084 - Mother, Female, 37 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Unfounded	No
	8086 - Sibling, Female, 4 Years	8084 - Mother, Female, 37 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Unfounded	
	8085 - Sibling, Male, 15	8084 - Mother, Female,	Inadequate	Unfounded	

BU-15-022 FINAL Page 9 of 14



Years	37 Years	Guardianship	
8083 - Deceased Child,	8084 - Mother, Female,	Inadequate	Unfounded
Female, 12 Years	37 Years	Guardianship	
8086 - Sibling, Female, 4	8084 - Mother, Female,	Inadequate	Unfounded
Years	37 Years	Guardianship	
8083 - Deceased Child,	8084 - Mother, Female,	Inadequate	Unfounded
Female, 12 Years	37 Years	Guardianship	

Report Summary:

There were concerns that the SC (age 12) and her siblings (ages 15 and 4), had an ongoing issue with lice. The children were alleged to have been infested with head and body lice for an extended period of time. The lice infestation had become so severe that the children had scar marks from the lice on their heads and all over their bodies. In addition the familys' home was in unsanitary condition. The BM failed to take the appropriate action to address these concerns.

Determination: Unfounded **Date of Determination:** 02/28/2012

Basis for Determination:

There was no indication that any of the children had been infested with head lice. None of the children had scarring due to head lice infestation. The condition of the home was well above minimum standards. No safety concerns at this time.

OCFS Review Results:

All necessary contacts were made and all family members interviewed. The school denied the family had any lice problems and the home was above minimal standards.

Are there Required Actions related to the compliance issue(s)? $\square Yes \square No$

Date of SCR Report	Alleged Victim(s)	Alleged Perpetrator(s)	Allegation(s)	Status/Outcome	Compliance Issue(s)
12/20/2012	8091 - Deceased Child, Female, 13 Years	8088 - Mother, Female, 38 Years	Lack of Supervision	Indicated	No
	8091 - Deceased Child, Female, 13 Years	8088 - Mother, Female, 38 Years	Educational Neglect	Indicated	
	8091 - Deceased Child, Female, 13 Years	8088 - Mother, Female, 38 Years	Childs Drug / Alcohol Use	Indicated	

Report Summary:

The SC (age 13yr) had a history of truancy. In 2012 the SC missed an excessive amount of school. Due to her poor attendance SC was failing academically. BM was aware of this attendance problem and failed to take adequate steps to insure that the SC attended school on a regular and consistent basis. The BM was unable to control the SC. the BM failed to provide adequate supervision for the SC. It appeared that the SC had a substance abuse problem which was not being addressed.

Determination: Indicated **Date of Determination:** 02/11/2013

Basis for Determination:

The allegations of EDNG and LSUP by the BM in regards to the SC were substantiated. The SC had missed over 37 days of school and had been tardy over 17 times. As a result, she was failing every subject. The BM made very little effort to get the SC to school and said that she worked every day and could not ensure that the SC got to school When the BM got home from work, the SC was often gone from the home and the BM had no idea where she was. DSS told the BM that a neglect petition would be filed based on educational neglect if the SC did not attend school. The BM decided to home school the SC. The BM had a history of educational neglect with her older children.

OCFS Review Results:

BU-15-022 FINAL Page 10 of 14



The BM was unable to get the SC to school. The BM was unwilling to file a PINS on the SC. The BM decided to home school the SC instead. The case was indicated and closed appropriately. The BM filed all the proper paperwork for home schooling.

Are there Required Actions related to the compliance issue(s)? □Yes ⊠No

Date of SCR Report	Alleged Victim(s)	Alleged Perpetrator(s)	Allegation(s)	Status/Outcome	Compliance Issue(s)
09/26/2014	8097 - Sibling, Female, 7 Years	8093 - Mother, Female, 39 Years	Lack of Medical Care	Unfounded	No
	8097 - Sibling, Female, 7 Years	8093 - Mother, Female, 39 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Unfounded	

Report Summary:

Sibling (age 7) needs glasses daily but the child did not have her glasses at all during the academic year. The sibling had difficulty writing letters and turned her head to the side when trying to see. The sibling was far below grade level in her classes, especially math and reading. The mother was made aware of this issue but did not ensure that the sibling had her glasses. This was an ongoing issue since Kindergarten.

Determination: Unfounded **Date of Determination:** 12/05/2014

Basis for Determination:

There was no credible evidence to substantiate the allegations of Inadequate Guardianship and Lack of Medical Care against BM in regards to 7 year old sibling. The sibling admitted during her interview that she had glasses but did not bring them to school. The BM made an appointment and the sibling received new glasses.

OCFS Review Results:

The caseworker spoke to everyone in the home and appropriate collaterals. No safety issues were found in the home.

Are there Required Actions related to the compliance issue(s)? $\square Yes \square No$

Date of SCR Report	Alleged Victim(s)	Alleged Perpetrator(s)	Allegation(s)	Status/Outcome	Compliance Issue(s)
06/06/2015	8142 - Sibling, Female, 7 Years	8138 - Mother, Female, 39 Years	Lack of Supervision	Unfounded	Yes
	8142 - Sibling, Female, 7 Years	8143 - Adult Sibling, Female, 23 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Unfounded	
	8142 - Sibling, Female, 7 Years	8143 - Adult Sibling, Female, 23 Years	Lack of Supervision	Unfounded	
	8144 - Other - nephew, Male, 3 Years	8138 - Mother, Female, 39 Years	Inadequate Food / Clothing / Shelter	Unfounded	
	8144 - Other - nephew, Male, 3 Years	8138 - Mother, Female, 39 Years	Lack of Supervision	Unfounded	
	8144 - Other - nephew, Male, 3 Years	8143 - Adult Sibling, Female, 23 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Unfounded	
	8142 - Sibling, Female, 7 Years	8140 - Father, Male, 39 Years	Inadequate Food / Clothing / Shelter	Unfounded	
	8142 - Sibling, Female, 7 Years	8140 - Father, Male, 39 Years	Lack of Supervision	Unfounded	
	8142 - Sibling, Female,	8143 - Adult Sibling,	Inadequate Food /	Unfounded	

BU-15-022 FINAL Page 11 of 14



7 Years	Female, 23 Years	Clothing / Shelter	
8144 - Other - nephew, Male, 3 Years	8140 - Father, Male, 39 Years	Inadequate Food / Clothing / Shelter	Unfounded
8144 - Other - nephew,	8140 - Father, Male, 39	Inadequate	Unfounded
Male, 3 Years	Years	Guardianship	
8144 - Other - nephew, Male, 3 Years	8140 - Father, Male, 39 Years	Lack of Supervision	Unfounded
8144 - Other - nephew,	8143 - Adult Sibling,	Inadequate Food /	Unfounded
Male, 3 Years	Female, 23 Years	Clothing / Shelter	
8142 - Sibling, Female,	8138 - Mother, Female,	Inadequate Food /	Unfounded
7 Years	39 Years	Clothing / Shelter	
8142 - Sibling, Female,	8138 - Mother, Female,	Inadequate	Unfounded
7 Years	39 Years	Guardianship	
8142 - Sibling, Female,	8140 - Father, Male, 39	Inadequate	Unfounded
7 Years	Years	Guardianship	
8144 - Other - nephew,	8138 - Mother, Female,	Inadequate	Unfounded
Male, 3 Years	39 Years	Guardianship	
8144 - Other - nephew, Male, 3 Years	8143 - Adult Sibling, Female, 23 Years	Lack of Supervision	Unfounded

Report Summary:

On 6/6/15, the unnamed family member took a toy and hit the 7 year old sibling on the back so hard that the child fell to the ground. It was unknown if the child was injured. The roles of the other unnamed adults and children in the home were unknown. The CW went out to the home and was not allowed in but was able to see the sibling. The sibling had no marks and denied that anyone hit her. The CW also went to school to interview the sibling. The sibling denied the anyone ever hit her with a toy or for discipline. She was not fearful of anyone in the home.

Determination: Unfounded **Date of Determination:** 11/15/2015

Basis for Determination:

Allegations of Inadequate Guardianship, Lack of Supervision, Inadequate Food, Clothing and Shelter on the parents, regarding the children, sibling and the nephew were unsubstantiated. During the investigation, the BM was uncooperative in allowing the CW to interview the children and to see her entire home. During the investigation, the SC was killed in a boating accident, which made the investigation more difficult due to the tragedy. The nephew and his mom moved to a new apartment. The CW was able to see that place and it is safe. The CW did talk to the sibling in school and she was assessed as safe. She stated only BM and her live in the home. BF's home was observed.

OCFS Review Results:

The CW tried to get the BM to cooperate with the investigation. The CW was able to see inside the home from the porch. There was also a day care complaint against the mother of the nephew who lived in the home. The CW contact that investigator who had seen the home and did not see any safety concerns other than lack of fire alarms. The CW did not explore with the family why the SC was on the motorboat that early in the morning. There were no conversations with the EMS, LE or Medical Examiner's office of description of the scene nor the cause of death or any toxicology screens. There were no interviews with the boyfriend or friends. There were no police reports about the accident.

Are there Required Actions related to the compliance issue(s)? ⊠Yes □No
Issue:
Timely/Adequate 24 Hour Assessment
Summary:

BU-15-022 FINAL Page 12 of 14

NEW YORK STATE

NYS Office of Children and Family Services - Child Fatality Report

The CW did not assess the safety of the other sibling in the household with in 24 hours after the death of the SC

Legal Reference:

SSL 424(6);18 NYCRR 432.2(b)(3)(i)

Action:

The district must develop a plan that will ensure that all children remaining in the household after the death of a child be assessed for safety.

Issue:

Overall Completeness and Adequacy of Investigation

Summary:

The CW did not talk to the BM or BF as to why the SC was in a motorboat early in the morning on the day of her death. There was no inquiry to the LE, EMS or ME concerning the scene or if the SC had drugs or alcohol in her system. The CW did not attempt to speak with the boyfriend of the friend to ascertain what happened before the accident.

Legal Reference:

SSL 424.6; 18 NYCRR 432.2(b)(3) and 18 NYCRR 432.2 (b)(3)(iii)(c)

Action:

The LDSS must develop a plan that will ensure that the death of a child is fully investigated whether or not it is an SCR report.

CPS - Investigative History More Than Three Years Prior to the Fatality

There were nine SCR reports from 12/1998 to 6/2010. Of these nine reports four were unfounded and in one the BM had no role. The rest of the indicated cases have to do with the educational neglect by the BM of the SC and her older siblings. These reports were indicated and closed as the BM would send in the proper paperwork to home school her children. The SC was being home schooled at the time of her accident.

Known CPS History Outside of NYS

none known

Services Open at the Time of the Fatality

Required Action(s)

Are there Required Actions related to compliance issues for provisions of CPS or Preventive services ? $\square Yes \square No$

Preventive Services History

There is no record of Preventive Services History provided to the deceased child, the deceased child's siblings, and/or the other children residing in the deceased child's household at the time of the fatality.

BU-15-022 FINAL Page 13 of 14



Casework Contacts					
	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine	
Were face-to-face contacts with the child in the child's placement location made with the required frequency?		X			
Required Action(s)					
Are there Required Actions related to the compliance issues for provision of Foster Care Services? □Yes ⊠No					
Foster Care Placement History	7				
There is no record of foster care placement history provided to the deceased other children residing in the deceased child's household at the time of the		leceased chi	ld's sibling	s, and/or the	
Legal History Within Three Years Prior to	the Fatality				
Was there any legal activity within three years prior to the fatality investigation? There was no legal activity					
Recommended Action(s)					
Are there any recommended actions for local or state administrative or	· policy cha	nges? □Y	es 🗵 No		
Are there any recommended prevention activities resulting from the re	view? □Y	es 🗵 No			