



Office of Children and Family Services

ANDREW M. CUOMO
Governor

SHEILA J. POOLE
Commissioner

April 23, 2021

Dear Chief Executive Officer,

Thank you for submitting Rockland County's Supervision and Treatment Services for Juveniles Program (STSJP) plan for Program Year (PY) 2020-2021. Your entire STSJP plan, including any amounts listed for PY 2020-2021 STSJP-RTA, has been **approved.**

The County is eligible to receive 62% State reimbursement for STSJP expenditures up to the capped STSJP allocation amount. Your municipality will continue to receive 49% State reimbursement for eligible detention services expenditures up to the capped allocation amount. If your municipality shifts a portion of its detention allocation into its STSJP plan, your municipality will receive 62% State reimbursement, up to the capped allocation, if such shifted funds are spent on STSJP eligible expenditures. A municipality may make a detention allocation shift at the time of the STSJP plan submission or up until June 30, 2021, unless otherwise approved by the Office of Children and Family Services.

If the County plans to shift its detention allocation for STSJP eligible expenses, please submit a request on official letterhead to Lynn Tubbs and email it to stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov outlining

1. the amount that will be shifted and
2. the type of programming or services the re-purposed detention funds will be used for under STSJP

Once the shift is approved, an amended STSJP plan will need to be submitted.

As a reminder, to access RTA reimbursement that a municipality may be eligible for, the expenditures must be specifically included in a locality's comprehensive RTA plan.

All STSJP claims, inclusive of STSJP-RTA, must be submitted electronically via the Juvenile Detention Automated System (JDAS) for the service period of October 1, 2020 to September 30, 2021. Questions on all aspects of the claiming process should be directed to the STSJP mailbox at stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov with the subject line: "STSJP Claiming Questions".

If you have any STSJP plan questions, please also email us at stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov and write "STSJP Plan Questions" in the subject line or reach out to Geneva Hilliard at (518) 486-1819 or Lynn Tubbs at (518) 473-9116.

Thank you for your continued partnership as we reform the juvenile justice practices in New York State by safely engaging youth and their families through innovative programming to reduce out of home placement and detention of youth.

Sincerely,



Nina Aledort, Ph.D., LMSW
Deputy Commissioner
Division of Youth Development and Partnerships for Success

cc: Derek Holtzclaw, OCFS Deputy Commissioner for Administration
Lynn Tubbs, Director of Cross-System Supports, YDAPS
OCFS Child Welfare and Community Services Regional Office Directors
Municipality STSJP Lead

NEW YORK STATE
OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES
SUPERVISION AND TREATMENT SERVICES FOR JUVENILES PROGRAM (STSJP)
ANNUAL PLAN FOR PROGRAM YEAR OCTOBER 1, 2020 – SEPTEMBER 30, 2021

SUBMITTING MUNICIPALITY CONTACT INFORMATION

Name of applicant county, counties, or jurisdiction: Rockland County		
Lead agency for STSJP submission: Department of Social Services		
Contact Person's Name: Barbara Gavin	Title: Director of Social Services	
Phone: (845) 364-3554	Ext: N/A	Email: barbara.gavin@dfa.state.ny.us

PLAN SUBMISSION INSTRUCTIONS

STSJP plans are due to the Office of Children and Family Services (OCFS) by 10 / 20 / 2020

- Once you have opened this form on your computer, please use the "Save As" function to save a copy with the following file name: "STSJP 2020-2021 Annual Plan – [Municipality Name]."
- Work from the copy saved in Step 1 as you record your municipality information. Save your work as you complete each section.
- Email the completed application to OCFS at stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov. Use the subject line "STSJP 2020-2021 Annual Plan – [Municipality Name]" to facilitate timely review of your plan.

Please direct any STSJP plan questions to Geneva Hilliard 518-486-1819 or Lynn Tubbs 518-473-9116.

NOTE:

Cooperative Applications Submitted Jointly by Two or More Counties

Two or more eligible local jurisdictions (counties) may join together to establish, operate and maintain STSJP programs, and may enter into agreements in connection therewith. Counties submitting such applications must provide additional information under **Part I - Municipality Level Details, Section A. Cooperative Application.**

PART I – MUNICIPALITY LEVEL DETAILS

A. Cooperative Application (Complete this section only if this is a joint application.)

1. Describe the provisions for the proportionate cost to be borne by each county. N/A		
2. Describe how personnel will be compensated across and between counties in the cooperative: N/A		
3. Will a single fiscal officer be the custodian of the funds made available for STSJP? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (If yes, please provide their contact details below.) <input type="checkbox"/> No (If no, skip to Q4.)		
Officer's Name: N/A	Title: N/A	
Phone: () N/A	Ext: N/A	Email: N/A
4. Describe who will be responsible for collecting and submitting STSJP data for joint-funded programs: N/A		

B. Municipality Level Analysis

- (a) Identify communities or neighborhoods from which the highest number of Youthful Offenders (YO), Adolescent Offenders (AO), Juvenile Offenders (JO), Juvenile Delinquents (JD), and Persons in Need of Supervision (PINS) enter the youth justice system, are remanded to detention, and/or are residentially placed; then (b) Discuss what factors may be contributing to these high numbers:

In Rockland County, youth from the zip codes 10927, 10923 and 10977 have the highest representation in the Juvenile Justice System. There appears to be an uptick in these areas with organized gang activity. Many of these Justice System involved youth know and interact with one another. Individualized risk factors that contribute to the

behaviors include patterns of poor academic attendance and/or performance, history of abuse or neglect, weak social ties, low parental involvement, previous trauma, increasing behavioral issues and/or behavioral health needs, past adjudication as a PINS, antisocial or delinquent peers, substance use, gang involvement and increased aggression towards self, rival gang members and/or the community.

2. (a) Compare the racial/ethnic distributions among your local system's detention and/or residential placements with the racial/ethnic distributions in your municipality's general population; then (b) Discuss any racial/ethnic disparities you identified and how this plan will address the disparities:

Rockland County has a population of 325,695 residents. The youth represent 28.2% of this population. In the overall population, 63% of people identify as being White, 11% of people identify as being Black and 18% of people identify as being Hispanic.

Rockland doesn't have any PINS youth in placement. Eight (8) youth were in detention or residential placement. Of these 8 youth, 50% (4) were White and 50% (4) were Black. Black youth were over-represented in Rockland's system since 50% of the youth who were in detention and/or residential placements are Black compared to Black people representing only 11% of the general population.

Rockland continues to try to address the disproportionality/disparities in our system through the guidance of our diverse team members on our Race Equity and Cultural Competence (RECC) Advisory Board. This Board's work has helped to reduce the percentages of Black youth in care from 52% in 2010 to 32% in 2020. Recently, however, we have seen a sharp increase in Hispanic youth in care rise from 19% in 2010 to 44% in 2020. We have attributed the increase in Hispanic youth as being correlated to undocumented youth who have limited access to resources and extended family in the United States.

The OCFS comparison rates directs your attention to the need for change within the system when you hear a Black youth is 3.8 times more likely to enter foster care than a White youth. However, framing the data this way doesn't appear to showcase when strategies are helping or hurting our efforts to reduce disproportionality and/or disparate treatment. We have found looking at the data by percentages and comparing it to the general population has helped us more with seeing our progress. Our RECC Advisory Board is trying to look more upstream on how we can disrupt the processes leading to youth and children entering care at a disproportionate rate. We have engaged our Probation Department and our Partnership for Safe and Healthy Youth staff into some of these discussions. Additionally, we have two other forums where we are working toward the elimination of racism in our workplace including small group conversations open to all staff and weekly meetings with the child welfare supervisors. They are exploring strategies used by a Minnesota child welfare agency that uses kinship supports arranged by the parent without foster care placements unless that arrangement fails, Family Meetings prior to the removals and virtual Removal Board Meetings before a placement occurs. Rockland continues to maintain one of the lowest placement rates in NYS, so any changes will be done incrementally to make sure we continue to maintain low recurrence rates and strong prevention outcomes.

Our STSJP plan was formulated to strengthen the longterm outcomes for at-risk and system involved youth. Research has shown that keeping these youth in school and moving forward toward a high school diploma will increase the likelihood of these youth becoming independent from the Juvenile Justice and Welfare Systems. Tutoring supports, youth leadership development through the Rockland Bravehearts and wrap-around funding will be used to fill racial, ethnic, social and economic gaps.

C. Local Collaboration

1. STSJP legislation requires local collaboration. Please describe your municipality's activities in developing this year's STSJP plan in collaboration with local agencies responsible for probation, law enforcement, detention, diversion, social services, courts, service providers, schools, and youth development programs:

Rockland has implemented a coordinated system to reduce exposure of youth to all levels of the justice and foster care systems. Primary stakeholders that make up our system of care, which is called the Partnership for Safe and Healthy Youth, includes the District Attorney's Office, Mental Health, Probation, BOCES (representing schools), youth and family voice, and DSS. Secondary stakeholders include the Family Court, Justice Court, police, schools and community providers. Stakeholders are collaborating by sharing information, resources and influences to keep youth in the community and in school, coordinating cross-system referrals of high-risk youth, coordinating cross-systems referrals of youth already touching the system(s), diverting youth from going deeper into the system, and incorporating the support of evidenced based and other successful programs and supports. Probation, the Partnership for Safe and Healthy Youth, Legal and DSS staff also meet monthly to make sure all diversion services have been exhausted as an alternative to the use of detention and/or placement.

PART II – PROGRAM LEVEL DETAILS

PROGRAM	1																					
A. Program 1 Contact Information																						
Program 1 Name: Tutoring Services																						
Operating Agency: Rockland County Department of Social Services																						
Program Mailing Address: 50 Sanatorium Road, Building C																						
Address Line 2: N/A																						
City: Pomona	State: NY Zip Code: 10970																					
Program Contact's Name: Vanessa Torres	Title: School Liasion Coordinator																					
Phone: (845) 364-3553 Ext: N/A	Email: vanessa.torres@dfa.state.ny.us																					
B. Program 1 Description and Target Population																						
1. This program meets the legal definition of a Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m) and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No																						
2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:																						
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 15%;">STSJP</th> <th style="width: 15%;">STSJP-RTA</th> <th style="width: 70%;"></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Prevention (P)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Early Intervention (EI)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Alternative to Placement (ATP)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Indirect Services</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		STSJP	STSJP-RTA		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services
STSJP	STSJP-RTA																					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)																				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)																				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)																				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)																				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)																				
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services																				
3. Please list the zip codes this program will target: Tutoring services will target youth living the zip codes 10923, 10927 and 10977, but youth will not be disqualified for not living within those zip codes.																						
4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered "yes" to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them. Rockland is investing a majority of our STSJP funding in educational support through tutoring services during the 2020-2021 year. We are projecting that the need for tutoring services will greatly increase over the next year because of the Covid-19 pandemics impact on local schools and families. Risk factors during this time																						

can be even more elevated based on race, ethnicity, economic level, employment status and single parenting. Even without these additional risk factors, we would have expected at-risk and Juvenile Justice involved youth will need more academic supports to remain engaged and progressing in his/her education.

Rockland has one of the lowest placement levels in NYS. Our population has continued to grow, become more diverse and more urbanized. As a result, youth gang activity has become more active and organized. During the COVID-19 Pandemic, Rockland saw a spike in youth arrests. Some of these crimes have been violent and will likely result in placement. Others have been more pervasive, even with diversion services, and may also result in placement to disrupt the behaviors. Our collaborative is working hard at seeing each youth as an individual and acknowledging incremental improvements as a sign of hope for successful community-based diversion services. So, we are expecting to see both RTA youth and Non-RTA youth this coming year needing tutoring. We will attempt to find at-risk youth early using the Prevention and Early Intervention Domains to provide supports to keep them moving forward in their academics. We will try to keep youth out of detention (ATD) and placement (ATP) and engaged in school as a sign of proactive steps toward cooperation with the court, Probation and/or Services. Finally, youth who are exiting placement will have the additional support of tutoring as they re-engage back into the school environment.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? Yes No

C. Program 1 Performance History (Refer to your municipality's STSJP data files.)

1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020?
 None (If none, skip to section D.) STSJP STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:

Based on the number of youth served Rockland was under budget capacity for tutoring services.

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJP	180.00	180.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
STSJP-RTA	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.

The average lengths of service are on target for what would be expected. Youth received tutoring during a 6 month period of time, they received 23 hours of tutoring per subject.

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?

- Yes (If yes, skip to section D.) Partially No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?

Over the 12 month period, twenty-three total youth received tutoring in PY 2019-2020. This included 10 STSJP qualified youth, 6 STSJP-RTA qualified youth and 7 Safe Harbour qualified youth. Of the 16 youth who were serviced through the RTA and STSJP-RTA funding, 2 youth received tutoring in 1 subject, 2 youth received tutoring in 2 subjects, 9 youth received tutoring in 3 subjects and 3 youth received tutoring in 4 subjects. Safe Harbour qualified youth often overlap with qualifying for STSJP or STSJP-RTA funding, All youth who received tutoring were successful in reaching their academic achievements.

We serviced 10 of the projected 13 youth under STSJP only funding.

We serviced 6 of the projected 19 youth under the STSJP-RTA funding.

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.

Additional barriers to services included our STSJP plan not being approved until December 9, 2019, the time it takes to get the money accepted into the budget and the Covid-19 pandemic. The pandemic added other challenges to overcome including resolving issues like transitioning to virtual services, youth lacking technology and youth lacking access to reliable internet. Safe Harbour qualified youth often overlap with qualifying for STSJP or STSJP-RTA funding, so we would expect most if not all of these youth to be serviced with STSJP or STSJP-RTA funding in PY 20-21.

We also found that with the onset on Raise the Age Legislation, our system is still going through growing pains. IN conversation with DCJS and OCFS, we learned that Rockland was missing some early opportunities for diversion. We have learned that although Rockland is doing well with diverting cases from the Adolescent Court back to Family Court, the option to refer to Probation for diversion rather Family Court has not been used. We also learned that adding the strategy of Alternative to Detention in this years plan will give DSS an opportunity to get involved with youth earlier in the youth's right to due process, which is being protected by our other stakeholders.

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?

Rockland had communication with OCFS staff regarding the need for timely STSJP and RTA Plan applications and approvals and the impact that this has on continuity of services. Rockland has also worked with our tutoring service provider to provide virtual tutoring services and provided laptops to qualifying youth so they can access services remotely. The Legislative process has also been started, so we believe the timeliness of starting services will be sooner.

We added Alternatives to Diversion options into our STSJP Plan. We are going to follow up with OCFS and DCJS to get Rockland's statistics on the path of bringing cases down from the Adolescent Court. We then plan to have a conversation with our Judge and other stakeholders regarding the options for diversion services available at an earlier stage.

As you can see from our plan, Rockland is investing a majority of our STSJP funding in educational support through tutoring services during the 2020-2021 year. We are projecting that the need for tutoring services will greatly increase over the next year because of the Covid-19 pandemics impact on local schools and families. Risk factors during this time can be even more elevated based on race, ethnicity, economic level, employment status and single parenting. Even without these additional risk factors, we would have expected at-risk and Juvenile Justice involved youth will need more academic supports to remain engaged and progressing in his/her education.

D. Program 1 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	6	5	2	3	2	1	3	2	24
STSJP-RTA	6	5			2	1	1	2	17
Total	12	10	2	3	4	2	4	4	41

PROGRAM 2

A. Program 2 Contact Information

Program 2 Name: Rockland Bravehearts

Operating Agency: Rockland County Department of Social Services

Program Mailing Address: 50 Sanatorium Road, Building C

Address Line 2:

City: Pomona

State: NY

Zip Code: 10970

Program Contact's Name: Barbara Gavin

Title: Director of Social Services

Phone: (845) 364-3554

Ext: N/A

Email: barbara.gavin@dfa.state.ny.us

B. Program 2 Description and Target Population

1. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021. Yes No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

3. Please list the zip codes this program will target:

Rockland Braveheart services will target youth living the zip codes 10923, 10927 and 10977, but youth will not be disqualified for not living within those zip codes.

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered "yes" to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them.

Bravehearts (Children's Village) will continue to build the Rockland Chapter of Bravehearts They will meet with young people in groups and/or individually to mentor and provide youth-led programming and motivational meetings to improve engagement rates and long-term outcomes for at-risk and Juvenile Justice System involved youth. In a newly formed collaboration with the District Attorney's Office, the Bravehearts will be targeting Juvenile Justice and Justice System involved youth up to the age of 21. This money, in combination with the STSJP and STSSJP-RTA funding will allow for the young people who were receiving services through the Prevention and Early Intervention domains to expand.

Rockland has one of the lowest placement levels in NYS. Our population has continued to grow, become more diverse and urbanized. As a result, youth gang activity has become more active and organized. During the COVID-19 pandemic, Rockland saw a spike in youth arrests. Some of these crimes have been violent and will likely result in placement. Others have been more pervasive and even with diversion services, may result in placement to disrupt the behaviors. Our collaborative is working hard at seeing each youth as an individual and acknowledging incremental improvements as a sign of hope for successful community-based diversion services. So, we are expecting to see youth all over the Continuum of Service Domains this coming year. We will continue to service the current Bravehearts and work to identify other at-risk youth early using the Prevention and Early Intervention Domains to provide supports to keep them engaged and involved in pro-social activities and leadership development. We will try to keep youth out of detention (ATD) and placement (ATP) and engaged in peer-led activities that promote taking proactive steps toward cooperation with the court, Probation and/or Services. Finally, youth who are exiting placement will have the additional support of participating in the Bravehearts as a prosocial activity as they re-engage back into his or her community and social structures.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? Yes No

C. Program 2 Performance History (Refer to your municipality's STSJP data files.)

1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020?
 None (If none, skip to section D.) STSJP STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	17	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	24
STSJP-RTA	4	0			0	0	0	0	4
Total	21	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	28

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:
 Based on the number of youth served Rockland met the budgeted capacity for Bravehearts services for younger STSJP youth. Rockland had projected 8 STSJP youth and 12 RTA youth to be serviced. Bravehearts (Children's Village) has met with the District Attorney's Office and the Rockland County Probation Department. In the coming year, they are going to be targeting the Juvenile Justice involved youth. This will include moving the meetings to the Kurtz Center which is located in downtown Spring Valley (area code 10977), which has the highest number of youth being arrested in Rockland County. Our STSJP & STSJP-RTA programming will work in collaboration with the funding from from the District Attorney's office so we can offer Braveheart services to youth who cross the Continuum of Service Domains and expand the services up to the age of 21 years old.

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJP	91.00	91.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
STSJP-RTA	91.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.
Average length of service are as expected due to COVID-19 social distancing /virtual participation and staffing considerations.
6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?
 Yes (If yes, skip to section D.) Partially No
7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?
 The Rockland Bravehearts developed a strong cohort of young people who consistently participated. Several of the youth were brought to conferences and out-reach events to gain further exposure and leadership skills. The Bravehearts were instrumental with staying in touch with our young people through the COVID-19 pandemic and racial tensions that caused a lot of deep feelings and confusion for many young people. Bravehearts was a safe place for these young people to process the things that were happening rather than relying on what they were seeing on social media and the news. Bravehearts was also instrumental in raising up the voice of the youth during the pandemic and other times throughout the year. This has helped our administration stay informed as we continue to adapt practice to our changing world.
8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.
 Engagement of older Juvenile Justice involved and younger preventive/early intervention domain youth was difficult. The Covid-19 pandemic also created a lot barriers to meeting in person the way they had previously.
9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?
 Collaboration with Bravehearts and the District Attorney's Office engaged older Juvenile Justice involved youth into the programming. Bravehearts will also offer group and individualized programming depending on where the youth are in their development. Someone with lived experience in the Justice System will be hired to work with the youth. As the group grows or diversifies, they will have up to two facilitators to manage the differing needs of the populations.

D. Program 2 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8
STSJP-RTA	2	2			2	2	2	2	12
Total	3	3	1	1	3	3	3	3	20

PROGRAM 3

A. Program 3 Contact Information

Program 3 Name: Stipends and Wraparound Funds for Youth		
Operating Agency: Rockland County Department of Social Services		
Program Mailing Address: 50 Sanatorium Road, Building C		
Address Line 2: N/A		
City: Pomona	State: NY	Zip Code: 10970
Program Contact's Name: Vanessa Torres		Title: School Liasion Coordinator
Phone: (845) 364-3553	Ext: N/A	Email: vanessa.torres@dfa.state.ny.us

B. Program 3 Description and Target Population

1. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021. Yes No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

3. Please list the zip codes this program will target:

Services will target youth living the zip codes 10923, 10927 and 10977, but youth will not be disqualified for not living within those zip codes.

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered “yes” to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them.

Stipends and Wraparound funds are in place to strategically strengthen services to support parents and at-risk youth, so that most youth can remain safely in the community or transition more expeditiously back into the community. Funds are available to support parents to outlast the youth’s behavior and youth to minimize risk-taking behaviors. The focus will be on strengthening the youth’s potential for achievement with feedback loops that reward incremental successes in school, social situation with peers, leadership development, youth employment and transitioning into youth employment, college, trade schools and career paths. As the youth’s brain continues to develop and mature, they will be in a good position to become independent of the systems and without labels or the repercussions of unnecessary loss.

Stipends will also be used across the Continuum of Services Domains to help counteract racial, ethnic, social and economic gaps. As discussed above, Rockland is becoming more urbanized and we are experiencing an uptick in arrests of organized gang activity, violence and arrest of young people. So, we are planning to continue our strong diversionary strategies with our youth in Prevention and Early Intervention Domains, but we do expect to have more youth qualify under our Alternatives to Detention (ATD), Alternatives to Placement(ATP) and our Reentry/AfterCare (R/A) Domains during this year.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? Yes No

C. Program 3 Performance History (Refer to your municipality’s STSJP data files.)

1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020?

None (If none, skip to section D.) STSJP STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	9	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
STSJP-RTA	6	2			0	0	0	1	9
Total	15	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	23

3. Based on the program’s record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:

Rockland projected that 47 younger youth and 15 older youth would receive stipends and/or wraparound services. A total of 19 youth were given stipends and/or wraparound services. The barrier to spending the money included the plan not being approved by OCFS until December 9, 2019 and the administrative processes of accepting the money allowed us to start using the STSJP money in March 2020. The Covid-19 pandemic began in Rockland, which forced a number of other priorities to be implemented to ensure the safety and well-being of our staff and the families we serve.

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJP	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
STSJP-RTA	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.

N/A - This program is for Stipends and Wraparound funds.

6. Will this program’s outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?

- Yes (If yes, skip to section D.) Partially No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?

Wraparound services and/or stipends were provided to 19 youth during the 12 month period.

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.

The pandemic made it difficult to have in person meetings and activities where youth could have earned stipends or share meals together. Schools, pro-social activities and other group functions were suspended. It is expected that when the pandemic is over, money will be needed to fill gaps resulting from racial, ethnic, social and economic gaps.

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?

The STSJP budgets will be assigned to a specific worker who will be responsible for making sure we are meeting our targets.

D. Program 3 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	4	4	2	2	2	2	2	2	20
STSJP-RTA	4	4			3	3	3	3	20
Total	8	8	2	2	5	5	5	5	40

PROGRAM 4

A. Program 4 Contact Information

Program 4 Name: N/A

Operating Agency: N/A

Program Mailing Address: N/A

Address Line 2: N/A

City: N/A State: **NY** Zip Code: 0

Program Contact's Name: N/A Title: N/A

Phone: () N/A Ext: N/A Email: N/A

B. Program 4 Description and Target Population

1. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021. Yes No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

3. Please list the zip codes this program will target:
N/A

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered "yes" to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them.
N/A

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? Yes No

C. Program 4 Performance History (Refer to your municipality's STSJP data files.)

1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020?
 None (If none, skip to section D.) STSJP STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP									
STSJP-RTA									
Total									

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:
N/A

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJP								
STSJP-RTA								

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.
N/A

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?
 Yes (If yes, skip to section D.) Partially No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?
N/A

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.
N/A

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?
N/A

D. Program 4 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP									
STSJP-RTA									
Total									

PROGRAM 5

A. Program 5 Contact Information

Program 5 Name: N/A		
Operating Agency: N/A		
Program Mailing Address: N/A		
Address Line 2: N/A		
City: N/A	State: NY	Zip Code: 0
Program Contact's Name: N/A		Title: N/A
Phone: () N/A	Ext: N/A	Email: N/A

B. Program 5 Description and Target Population

1. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021. Yes No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

3. Please list the zip codes this program will target:
N/A

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered “yes” to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them.
N/A

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? Yes No

C. Program 5 Performance History (Refer to your municipality's STSJP data files.)

1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020?
 None (If none, skip to section D.) STSJP STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							Total	
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP		R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP									
STSJP-RTA									
Total									

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:
N/A

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							ATP	R/A
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP						
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP									
STSJP-RTA									

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.

N/A

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?

Yes (If yes, skip to section D.) Partially No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?

N/A

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.

N/A

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?

N/A

D. Program 5 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP									
STSJP-RTA									
Total									

PROGRAM 6

A. Program 6 Contact Information

Program 6 Name: N/A

Operating Agency: N/A

Program Mailing Address: N/A

Address Line 2: N/A

City: N/A

State: NY

Zip Code: 0

Program Contact's Name: N/A

Title: N/A

Phone: () N/A

Ext: N/A

Email: N/A

B. Program 6 Description and Target Population

1. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021. Yes No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

3. Please list the zip codes this program will target:
N/A

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered “yes” to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them.
N/A

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? Yes No

C. Program 6 Performance History (Refer to your municipality's STSJP data files.)

1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020?
 None (If none, skip to section D.) STSJP STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP									
STSJP-RTA									
Total									

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:
N/A

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJP								
STSJP-RTA								

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.
N/A

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?
 Yes (If yes, skip to section D.) Partially No
7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?
 N/A
8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.
 N/A
9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?
 N/A

D. Program 6 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP									
STSJP-RTA									
Total									

PROGRAM 7

A. Program 7 Contact Information

Program 7 Name: N/A

Operating Agency: N/A

Program Mailing Address: N/A

Address Line 2: N/A

City: N/A State: **NY** Zip Code: 0

Program Contact's Name: N/A Title: N/A

Phone: () N/A Ext: N/A Email: N/A

B. Program 7 Description and Target Population

1. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021. Yes No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

3. Please list the zip codes this program will target:
 N/A

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered “yes” to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them.
N/A

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? Yes No

C. Program 7 Performance History (Refer to your municipality’s STSJP data files.)

1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020?
 None (If none, skip to section D.) STSJP STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP									
STSJP-RTA									
Total									

3. Based on the program’s record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:
N/A

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJP								
STSJP-RTA								

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.
N/A

6. Will this program’s outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?
 Yes (If yes, skip to section D.) Partially No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?
N/A

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.
N/A

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?
N/A

D. Program 7 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP									
STSJP-RTA									
Total									

PROGRAM 8

A. Program 8 Contact Information

Program 8 Name: N/A

Operating Agency: N/A

Program Mailing Address: N/A

Address Line 2: N/A

City: N/A

State: NY

Zip Code: 0

Program Contact's Name: N/A

Title: N/A

Phone: () N/A

Ext: N/A

Email: N/A

B. Program 8 Description and Target Population

1. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021. Yes No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

3. Please list the zip codes this program will target:

N/A

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered "yes" to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them.

N/A

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? Yes No

C. Program 8 Performance History (Refer to your municipality's STSJP data files.)

1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020?

None (If none, skip to section D.)

STSJP

STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding			Program Type				ATP	R/A	Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP						
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP									
STSJP-RTA									
Total									

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:
N/A

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding			Program Type				ATP	R/A
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP					
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJP								
STSJP-RTA								

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.
N/A

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?
 Yes (If yes, skip to section D.) Partially No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?
N/A

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.
N/A

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?
N/A

D. Program 8 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding			Program Type				ATP	R/A	Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP						
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP									
STSJP-RTA									
Total									

PROGRAM 9

A. Program 9 Contact Information

Program 9 Name: N/A

Operating Agency: N/A		
Program Mailing Address:		
Address Line 2: N/A		
City: N/AN/A	State: NY	Zip Code: 0
Program Contact's Name: N/A		Title: N/A
Phone: () N/A	Ext: N/A	Email: N/A

B. Program 9 Description and Target Population

1. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021. Yes No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

3. Please list the zip codes this program will target:
N/A

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered “yes” to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them.
N/A

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? Yes No

C. Program 9 Performance History (Refer to your municipality's STSJP data files.)

1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020?
 None (If none, skip to section D.) STSJP STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

	Program Type							Total	
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP		R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
Approved Funding									
STSJP									
STSJP-RTA									
Total									

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:
N/A

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							ATP	R/A
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP						
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP									
STSJP-RTA									

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.

N/A

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?

Yes (If yes, skip to section D.) Partially No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?

N/A

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.

N/A

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?

N/A

D. Program 9 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP									
STSJP-RTA									
Total									

PROGRAM 10

A. Program 10 Contact Information

Program 10 Name: N/A

Operating Agency: N/A

Program Mailing Address: N/A

Address Line 2: N/A

City: N/A

State: NY

Zip Code: 0

Program Contact's Name: N/A

Title: N/A

Phone: () N/A

Ext: N/A

Email: N/A

B. Program 10 Description and Target Population

1. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021. Yes No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

3. Please list the zip codes this program will target:
N/A

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered “yes” to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them.
N/A

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? Yes No

C. Program 10 Performance History (Refer to your municipality's STSJP data files.)

1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020?
 None (If none, skip to section D.) STSJP STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP									
STSJP-RTA									
Total									

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:
N/A

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJP								
STSJP-RTA								

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.
N/A

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?
 Yes (If yes, skip to section D.) Partially No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?
N/A
8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.
N/A
9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?
N/A

D. Program 10 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type						ATP	R/A	Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP						
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP									
STSJP-RTA									
Total									

PROGRAM 11

A. Program 11 Contact Information

Program 11 Name: N/A

Operating Agency: N/A

Program Mailing Address: N/A

Address Line 2: N/A

City: N/A State: **NY** Zip Code: 0

Program Contact's Name: N/A Title: N/A

Phone: () N/A Ext: N/A Email: N/A

B. Program 11 Description and Target Population

1. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021. Yes No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

3. Please list the zip codes this program will target:
N/A

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered “yes” to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them.
N/A

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? Yes No

C. Program 11 Performance History (Refer to your municipality’s STSJP data files.)

1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020?
 None (If none, skip to section D.) STSJP STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP									
STSJP-RTA									
Total									

3. Based on the program’s record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:
N/A

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJP								
STSJP-RTA								

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.
N/A

6. Will this program’s outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?
 Yes (If yes, skip to section D.) Partially No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?
N/A

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.
N/A

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?
N/A

D. Program 11 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP									
STSJP-RTA									
Total									

PROGRAM 12

A. Program 12 Contact Information

Program 12 Name: N/A

Operating Agency: N/A

Program Mailing Address: N/A

Address Line 2: N/A

City: N/A

State: **NY**

Zip Code: 0

Program Contact's Name: N/A

Title: N/A

Phone: () N/A

Ext: N/A

Email: N/A

B. Program 12 Description and Target Population

1. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021. Yes No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

3. Please list the zip codes this program will target:

N/A

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered "yes" to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them.

N/A

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? Yes No

C. Program 12 Performance History (Refer to your municipality's STSJP data files.)

1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020?

None (If none, skip to section D.)

STSJP

STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP									
STSJP-RTA									
Total									

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:
N/A

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJP								
STSJP-RTA								

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.
N/A

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?
 Yes (If yes, skip to section D.) Partially No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?
N/A

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.
N/A

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?
N/A

D. Program 12 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP									
STSJP-RTA									
Total									

PART III – Goals for PY 2020-2021

Please set the municipality's goals for its programs to achieve in PY 2020-2021. State-required outcomes have been included with space for you to add any locally collected outcomes. Goals are focused by service type and should reflect the percentage of youth expected to achieve the outcome described. Note: Outcomes are only recorded for youth once they have left the program; this may represent a subset of all the youth served during the period.

Prevention

STSJP		STSJP RTA		Outcomes
90	%	90	%	of youth will have no PINS referrals during service engagement
75	%	75	%	of youth will have no trancies during service engagement
90	%	90	%	of youth will have no school suspensions during service engagement
90	%	90	%	of youth will have no arrests or probation intakes during service engagement
85	%	85	%	of youth will be able to identify at least one accessible, positive adult connection *(new)
85	%	85	%	of youth will be engaged in at least one positive community activity *(new)
85	%	85	%	of youth will comply with program rules
80	%	80	%	of youth will attend at least 90 percent of programming

Other, locally collected outcomes:

N/A

Early Intervention

STSJP		STSJP RTA		Outcomes
85	%	85	%	of youth will have no PINS referrals during service engagement
75	%	75	%	of youth will have no trancies during service engagement
85	%	85	%	of youth will have no school suspensions during service engagement
85	%	85	%	of youth will have no arrests or probation intakes during service engagement
80	%	80	%	of youth will have their cases successfully adjusted/diverted during service engagement
80	%	80	%	of youth will be able to identify at least one accessible, positive adult connection *(new)
80	%	80	%	of youth will be engaged in at least one positive community activity *(new)
80	%	80	%	of youth will comply with program rules
75	%	75	%	of youth will attend at least 90 percent of programming

Other, locally collected outcomes:

N/A

Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement

STSJP		STSJP RTA		Outcomes
80	%	80	%	of youth will have no missed court appearances during service engagement
75	%	75	%	of youth will have no warrants issued during service engagement
75	%	75	%	of youth will have no arrests or probation intakes during service engagement
75	%	75	%	of youth will have no detention or jail admissions during service engagement
80	%		%	of PINS will have no pre-dispositional placements during service engagement
75	%	75	%	of youth will be able to identify at least one accessible, positive adult connection *(new)
75	%	75	%	of youth will be engaged in at least one positive community activity *(new)
75	%	75	%	of youth will comply with program rules
70	%	70	%	of youth will attend at least 90 percent of programming

Other, locally collected outcomes:

N/A

Alternative to Placement

STSJP		STSJP RTA		Outcomes
80	%	80	%	of youth will have no warrants issued during service engagement
75	%	75	%	of youth will have no arrests or probation intakes during service engagement
75	%	75	%	of youth will have no detention or jail admissions during service engagement
80	%		%	of PINS will have no pre-dispositional placements during service engagement
75	%	75	%	of youth will have no violations of probation filed during service engagement
75	%	75	%	of youth will have no new placements during service engagement
75	%	75	%	of youth will be able to identify at least one accessible, positive adult connection * (new)
75	%	75	%	of youth will be engaged in at least one positive community activity * (new)
75	%	75	%	of youth will comply with program rules
70	%	70	%	of youth will attend at least 90 percent of programming

Other, locally collected outcomes:

N/A

Reentry / Aftercare

STSJP		STSJP RTA		Outcomes
85	%	85	%	of youth will have no warrants issued during service engagement
85	%	85	%	of youth will have no arrests or probation intakes during service engagement
85	%	85	%	of youth will have no detention or jail admissions during service engagement
80	%		%	of PINS will have no pre-dispositional placements during service engagement
85	%	85	%	of youth will have no new placements during service engagement
85	%	85	%	of youth will have no returns to their previous placements during service engagement
80	%	80	%	of youth will be able to identify at least one accessible, positive adult connection * (new)
80	%	80	%	of youth will be engaged in at least one positive community activity * (new)
80	%	80	%	of youth will comply with program rules
75	%	75	%	of youth will attend at least 90 percent of programming

Other, locally collected outcomes:

N/A

PART IV – FUNDING

A. Anticipated Program Expenses and Funding Distribution

Program Name & Service Types	STSJP					STSJP-RTA
	Detention Allocation Shifted	PY20-21 STSJP Allocation	Total Expenses (100%)	Local Share (38%)	State Share (62%)	State Share (100%)
1 Tutoring Services	\$0.00	\$29,946.00	\$48,300.00	\$18,354.00	\$29,946.00	\$34,213.00
Prevention	\$0.00	\$7,486.50	\$12,075.00	\$4,588.50	\$7,486.50	\$12,075.00
Early Intervention	\$0.00	\$6,238.75	\$10,062.50	\$3,823.75	\$6,238.75	\$10,063.00
ATD/ATPDP	\$0.00	\$9,982.00	\$16,100.00	\$6,118.00	\$9,982.00	\$6,037.00
ATP	\$0.00	\$3,743.25	\$6,037.50	\$2,294.25	\$3,743.25	\$2,013.00
Reentry/Aftercare	\$0.00	\$2,495.50	\$4,025.00	\$1,529.50	\$2,495.50	\$4,025.00
Indirect	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2 Rockland Bravehearts	\$0.00	\$9,300.00	\$15,000.00	\$5,700.00	\$9,300.00	\$22,500.00
Prevention	\$0.00	\$1,162.50	\$1,875.00	\$712.50	\$1,162.50	\$3,750.00
Early Intervention	\$0.00	\$1,162.50	\$1,875.00	\$712.50	\$1,162.50	\$3,750.00
ATD/ATPDP	\$0.00	\$4,650.00	\$7,500.00	\$2,850.00	\$4,650.00	\$7,500.00
ATP	\$0.00	\$1,162.50	\$1,875.00	\$712.50	\$1,162.50	\$3,750.00
Reentry/Aftercare	\$0.00	\$1,162.50	\$1,875.00	\$712.50	\$1,162.50	\$3,750.00
Indirect	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
3 Stipend and Wraparound funds	\$0.00	\$5,702.00	\$9,196.77	\$3,494.77	\$5,702.00	\$9,197.00
Prevention	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Early Intervention	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
ATD/ATPDP	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
ATP	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Reentry/Aftercare	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Indirect	\$0.00	\$5,702.00	\$9,196.77	\$3,494.77	\$5,702.00	\$9,197.00
4 N/A						
Prevention						
Early Intervention						
ATD/ATPDP						
ATP						
Reentry/Aftercare						
Indirect						
5 N/A						
Prevention						
Early Intervention						
ATD/ATPDP						
ATP						
Reentry/Aftercare						
Indirect						

Program Name & Service Types	STSJP					STSJP-RTA
	Detention Allocation Shifted	PY20-21 STSJP Allocation	Total Expenses (100%)	Local Share (38%)	State Share (62%)	State Share (100%)
6 N/A						
Prevention						
Early Intervention						
ATD/ATPDP						
ATP						
Reentry/Aftercare						
Indirect						
7 N/A						
Prevention						
Early Intervention						
ATD/ATPDP						
ATP						
Reentry/Aftercare						
Indirect						
8 N/A						
Prevention						
Early Intervention						
ATD/ATPDP						
ATP						
Reentry/Aftercare						
Indirect						
9 N/A						
Prevention						
Early Intervention						
ATD/ATPDP						
ATP						
Reentry/Aftercare						
Indirect						
10 N/A						
Prevention						
Early Intervention						
ATD/ATPDP						
ATP						
Reentry/Aftercare						
Indirect						

Program Name & Service Types	STSJP					STSJP-RTA
	Detention Allocation Shifted	PY20-21 STSJP Allocation	Total Expenses (100%)	Local Share (38%)	State Share (62%)	State Share (100%)
11 N/A						
Prevention						
Early Intervention						
ATD/ATPDP						
ATP						
Reentry/Aftercare						
Indirect						
12 N/A						
Prevention						
Early Intervention						
ATD/ATPDP						
ATP						
Reentry/Aftercare						
Indirect						
Sum of Program Totals:	\$0.00	\$44,948.00	\$72,496.77	\$27,548.77	\$44,948.00	\$65,910.00

B. STSJP Reimbursement Summary	
STSJP Allocation Amount	\$44,948.00
Locally Approved Amount of PY 2020-2021 STSJP Allocation	\$44,948.00
Approved Detention Allocation Shifted	\$0.00
Total Approved for State Reimbursement	\$44,948.00

C. STSJP-RTA Reimbursement Summary	
STSJP-RTA Approved Plan Amount	\$65,910.00
Total Approved for State Reimbursement	65910.00

PART V – PLAN APPROVAL		
A. Municipality Level Approval – Chief Executive / Administrative Official		
As STSJP Lead for Rockland County, I certify that the Chief Executive/Administrative Official, [Name and Title] Edwin J. Day, County Executive, has reviewed and approved the 2020-2021 STSJP Plan.		
User ID:	Print Name: Edwin J. Day	Date: 12/7/2020
B. State Level Approval – OCFS Program Reviewer		
As OCFS STSJP reviewer, I certify that I approve of this STSJP plan for Rockland County for 2020-2021.		
User ID: JM9737	Print Name: Karen Sessions	Date: 12/22/2020