



Office of Children and Family Services

ANDREW M. CUOMO
Governor

SHEILA J. POOLE
Commissioner

June 23, 2021

Dear Chief Executive Officer,

Thank you for submitting Genesee County's Supervision and Treatment Services for Juveniles Program (STSJP) plan for Program Year (PY) 2020-2021. Your entire STSJP plan, including any amounts listed for PY 2020-2021 STSJP-RTA, has been **approved**.

The County is eligible to receive 62% State reimbursement for STSJP expenditures up to the capped STSJP allocation amount. Your municipality will continue to receive 49% State reimbursement for eligible detention services expenditures up to the capped allocation amount. If your municipality shifts a portion of its detention allocation into its STSJP plan, your municipality will receive 62% State reimbursement, up to the capped allocation, if such shifted funds are spent on STSJP eligible expenditures. A municipality may make a detention allocation shift at the time of the STSJP plan submission or up until June 30, 2021, unless otherwise approved by the Office of Children and Family Services.

If the County plans to shift its detention allocation for STSJP eligible expenses, please submit a request on official letterhead to Lynn Tubbs and email it to stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov outlining

1. the amount that will be shifted and
2. the type of programming or services the re-purposed detention funds will be used for under STSJP

Once the shift is approved, an amended STSJP plan will need to be submitted.

As a reminder, to access RTA reimbursement that a municipality may be eligible for, the expenditures must be specifically included in a locality's comprehensive RTA plan.

All STSJP claims, inclusive of STSJP-RTA, must be submitted electronically via the Juvenile Detention Automated System (JDAS) for the service period of October 1, 2020 to September 30, 2021. Questions on all aspects of the claiming process should be directed to the STSJP mailbox at stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov with the subject line: "STSJP Claiming Questions".

If you have any STSJP plan questions, please also email us at stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov and write "STSJP Plan Questions" in the subject line or reach out to Geneva Hilliard at (518) 486-1819 or Lynn Tubbs at (518) 473-9116.

Thank you for your continued partnership as we reform the juvenile justice practices in New York State by safely engaging youth and their families through innovative programming to reduce out of home placement and detention of youth.

Sincerely,



Nina Aledort, Ph.D., LMSW
Deputy Commissioner
Division of Youth Development and Partnerships for Success

cc: Derek Holtzclaw, OCFS Deputy Commissioner for Administration
Lynn Tubbs, Director of Cross-System Supports, YDAPS
OCFS Child Welfare and Community Services Regional Office Directors
Municipality STSJP Lead

NEW YORK STATE
OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES
SUPERVISION AND TREATMENT SERVICES FOR JUVENILES PROGRAM (STSJP)
ANNUAL PLAN FOR PROGRAM YEAR OCTOBER 1, 2020 – SEPTEMBER 30, 2021

SUBMITTING MUNICIPALITY CONTACT INFORMATION

Name of applicant county, counties, or jurisdiction: Genesee		
Lead agency for STSJP submission: Department of Social Services		
Contact Person's Name: Ben Dennis	Title: Director of Social Services	
Phone: (585) 344-2580	Ext: 6400	Email: ben.dennis2@dfa.state.ny.us

PLAN SUBMISSION INSTRUCTIONS

STSJP plans are due to the Office of Children and Family Services (OCFS) by 10 / 20 / 2020

- Once you have opened this form on your computer, please use the "Save As" function to save a copy with the following file name: "STSJP 2020-2021 Annual Plan – [Municipality Name]."
- Work from the copy saved in Step 1 as you record your municipality information. Save your work as you complete each section.
- Email the completed application to OCFS at stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov. Use the subject line "STSJP 2020-2021 Annual Plan – [Municipality Name]" to facilitate timely review of your plan.

Please direct any STSJP plan questions to Geneva Hilliard 518-486-1819 or Lynn Tubbs 518-473-9116.

NOTE:

Cooperative Applications Submitted Jointly by Two or More Counties

Two or more eligible local jurisdictions (counties) may join together to establish, operate and maintain STSJP programs, and may enter into agreements in connection therewith. Counties submitting such applications must provide additional information under **Part I - Municipality Level Details, Section A. Cooperative Application.**

PART I – MUNICIPALITY LEVEL DETAILS

A. Cooperative Application (Complete this section only if this is a joint application.)

- Describe the provisions for the proportionate cost to be borne by each county.
 - Describe how personnel will be compensated across and between counties in the cooperative:
 - Will a single fiscal officer be the custodian of the funds made available for STSJP?
 Yes (If yes, please provide their contact details below.)
 No (If no, skip to Q4.)
- | | |
|-----------------|------------------|
| Officer's Name: | Title: |
| Phone: () | Ext: Email: |
- Describe who will be responsible for collecting and submitting STSJP data for joint-funded programs:

B. Municipality Level Analysis

- (a) Identify communities or neighborhoods from which the highest number of Youthful Offenders (YO), Adolescent Offenders (AO), Juvenile Offenders (JO), Juvenile Delinquents (JD), and Persons in Need of Supervision (PINS) enter the youth justice system, are remanded to detention, and/or are residentially placed; then (b) Discuss what factors may be contributing to these high numbers:

Genesee County has a population of approximately 60,000 people. Batavia and Leroy are the 2 largest cities, yet all youth in the County receive the same level of services. Being such a small County and primarily rural, we have about 13 zip codes including Batavia and Leroy. It is within the community of Batavia and Leroy where we see the

most problematic children, however, the issues are not significant enough to a point where we need target these services as opposed to other areas in the County. Additionally, we are able to know our kids very well so there is no need to program for specific neighborhoods or communities. When we have approximately 150 youth in STAR, 30 PINS youth and 11 EM youth, we are able to know who they are and where they live and what school they attend. The local law enforcement in Genesee County work well with schools and other service providers to serve the entire Genesee County Community. Therefore, for the purpose of STSJP Planning, we are including all youth in Genesee County and not differentiating by a certain zip code.

- 2. (a) Compare the racial/ethnic distributions among your local system’s detention and/or residential placements with the racial/ethnic distributions in your municipality’s general population; then (b) Discuss any racial/ethnic disparities you identified and how this plan will address the disparities:

When we look at the demographic of the youth in the custody of GCDSS and that are residing in detention facilities or residential facilities, it appears that there is a disproportionate representation, although the disproportional rate has been trending lower in recent years. According to the latest data provided by OCFS Bureau of Research, Evaluation and Performance Analytics, in 2019 Genesee County had four youth in detention, and two of them were minorities. Additionally, as of this report Genesee County in 2020 has 8 youth in residential, total, which is a decrease from recent years. Unfortunately, however, 5 of these youth are minority, which is disproportionate. Although the higher rate of placement, the outcomes appear to be similar. This means that the minority youth are achieving their goals at the same rate as the non-minority children in placement. The goals of return to parent or discharge to independent living appear to be similar across racial and ethnic backgrounds. Genesee County is aware of the disparity that exists in general with the rate of placements. All staff are now required to attend Implicit Bias Training. Our probation officers (PINS) and our STAR Instructors as well as DSS staff are required to attend the trainings. Additionally, STAR, which works with the largest population of youth in the area strives to keep their Instructors at a minimum of 20% minority staffing. By hiring qualified instructors from this very community that also are diverse has shown to be beneficial to achieving cultural sensitivity.

C. Local Collaboration

- 1. STSJP legislation requires local collaboration. Please describe your municipality’s activities in developing this year’s STSJP plan in collaboration with local agencies responsible for probation, law enforcement, detention, diversion, social services, courts, service providers, schools, and youth development programs:

Collaborative efforts are made with various key components in Genesee County. Regular meetings are conducted between Probation and DSS as well as the County Youth Bureau and the provider agencies, like STAR. Genesee County has a rich history of local government departments, law enforcement and others to cooperate and collaborate regarding Juvenile Justice issues. Genesee County has a Juvenile Justice Planning Committee (JJPC) that meets on a monthly basis to review data and approaches to serving youth and families in the Juvenile Justice system. STAR (Program # 1) and Pins Diversion (Program # 2) thru Genesee County Probation are ATP Programs that have been successful over the years in preventing out-of-home placement of at risk youth. Genesee County Family Court also supports and recognizes the use of (ATP) Electronic Monitoring (Program # 3) as an effective way of keeping youth out of detention and safely in the community. We are also excited about the new initiative called Juvenile Adjustment Services Committee (JASC) which has met every two weeks. This is different from JJPC in that it will include the actual service providers in the area (Probation, DSS, Mental Health, School, Family) and we discuss case specific youth and how to best keep them out of any kind of placement. The JASC has shown to be successful so far, although COVID has hampered the actual face to face meetings, the plan is to restart this very soon.

PART II – PROGRAM LEVEL DETAILS

PROGRAM 1

A. Program 1 Contact Information

Program 1 Name: S.T.A.R. LLC

Operating Agency: S.T.A.R.		
Program Mailing Address: P.O. Box 1701		
Address Line 2:		
City: Batavia	State: NY	Zip Code: 14021
Program Contact's Name: Captain Jim Davis		Title: Program Coordinator
Phone: (585) 343-1164	Ext:	Email: starmib@yahoo.com

B. Program 1 Description and Target Population

1. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021. Yes No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

3. Please list the zip codes this program will target:
All zip codes in Genesee County.

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered "yes" to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them.

The STAR program is designed to provide supervision, educational support, behavioral modification and many other positive developmental activities to youth that are at "high-risk" of out-of-home placement. Many youth in the STAR program have been adjudicated a PINS and/or JD and get referred to STAR by the schools, probation, DSS or the parent can self refer the child. The program work in conjunction with the parents/caretakers who are required to attend parenting sessions once a week. Additional allocation of funds will allow Genesee County STAR to increase its capacity of youth served and also allow for the STAR Instructors to make more frequent home visits and home checks for student who do not want to attend their school or program.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? Yes No

C. Program 1 Performance History (Refer to your municipality's STSJP data files.)

1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020?
 None (If none, skip to section D.) STSJP STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	0	0	0	0	0	79	0	79
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	79	0	79

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:
 Some of the youth are counted twice as they were re-enrolled or re-referred. This program is right at its budgeted capacity. They remained working with the children through COVID and the end of the school year.

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJP	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.80	0.00
STSJP-RTA	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.
 The average length of service remained pretty much consistent throughout each quarter. We find also that the children who enter a residential or detention placement, that the length of stay in one of those facilities is at least four times as long. Having this alternative to a residential setting is essential to keeping children out of the lengthier congregate placements.

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?
 Yes (If yes, skip to section D.) Partially No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?
 Goals are to keep children out of residential placement and to increase their school attendance and raise their GPA. Children in STAR during this past PY saw their grades go up by 14 percentage points and their absences drop from an average of 13.6 absences before STAR to an average of 5 absences while in the STAR program.

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.
 Some students failed to meet goals of STAR program. Non-compliance, failure for parent participation, assaultive behavior were some of the reasons for dismissal from STAR.

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?
 Students who do not meet the desired goals are very few and far between. Mandated Preventive Services and other educational services or alternatives were subsequently provided to family, up to and including out-of-home placement.

D. Program 1 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	Total
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	0	0	0	0	0	180	0	180
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	180	0	180

PROGRAM 2

A. Program 2 Contact Information

Program 2 Name: PINS Diversion

Operating Agency: Genesee County Probation Department		
Program Mailing Address: 15 Main St		
Address Line 2:		
City: Batavia	State: NY	Zip Code: 14020
Program Contact's Name: Timothy Michalek		Title: Probation Director
Phone: (585) 815-7807	Ext: 2270	Email: timothy.michalak@co.genesee.ny.us

B. Program 2 Description and Target Population

1. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021. Yes No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

3. Please list the zip codes this program will target:
All Genesee County Zip Codes

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered "yes" to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them.

The Probation Department's PINS Diversion program is designed to prevent out-of-home placements by engaging the youth, family and others, in the home, school and community. Many of the youth in the PINS Diversion Program are considered "high-risk" for further penetration into the juvenile justice system and eventual out-of-home placements. Officers coordinate services and referrals to counseling and/or community service and they track and monitor the progress of the child and can refer the matter to a judge for court supervision if deemed appropriate.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? Yes No

C. Program 2 Performance History (Refer to your municipality's STSJ data files.)

1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020?
 None (If none, skip to section D.) STSJP STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	12

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:

It is possible that we could see numbers below the budgeted capacity this year due to the COVID19 pandemic.

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJP	0.00	223.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
STSJP-RTA	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.

Many times children are on PINS for up to a year, so the average length of service being at 223 is not that surprising and it is what we would expect.

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?

Yes (If yes, skip to section D.) Partially No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?

One outcome is, with the use of PINS Diversion, DSS can prevent up to 30 children from entering foster care or costly residential congregate care placements. It appears as though the goal of preventing these high risk children from entering foster care has been met at a rate of about 90%

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.

Although 90% of the youth that were served did achieve the desired outcome, 10% went into placement. Despite every effort and all the diligence, there are going to be a small number of youth that have a greater likelihood of entering care. In cases like that, Mandated Preventive Services and other educational services or alternatives were subsequently provided to family, up to and including out-of-home placement.

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?

Mandated Preventive Services and other educational services or alternatives were subsequently provided to family, up to and including out-of-home placement.

D. Program 2 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	Total
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	30
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	30

PROGRAM 3

A. Program 3 Contact Information

Program 3 Name: Electronic Monitoring (BI INC)

Operating Agency: Genesee County DSS

Program Mailing Address: 5130 East Main St

Address Line 2:

City: Batavia		State: NY	Zip Code: 14020
Program Contact's Name: Rebecca Nigro		Title: Supervisor	
Phone: (585) 344-2580	Ext: 6448	Email: rebecca.nigro@dfa.state.ny.us	

B. Program 3 Description and Target Population

1. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021. Yes No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

3. Please list the zip codes this program will target:
All Genesee County Zip Codes

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered “yes” to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them.
Electronic Monitoring (EM) is an ATD/ATPDP Program Serving both RTA and Non-RTA Youth. Genesee Co purchases the services from Behavioral Interventions. DSS staff receive alerts & act accordingly depending on nature of the violation. The EM program is designed to increase the likelihood that the youth attends their next court date as opposed to having him or her placed in detention. EM also used to deter youth from negative behaviors, identify whereabouts of a youth 24/7, keep the youth at home, in local schools & in the community.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? Yes No

C. Program 3 Performance History (Refer to your municipality's STSJP data files.)

1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020?
 None (If none, skip to section D.) STSJP STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:
This program will be slightly under its budgeted capacity as far as the number of youth go and that is primarily due to COVID. Children were quarantined to the home and this limited the need for an EM.

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJP	0.00	0.00	0.00	23.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
STSJP-RTA	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.

The average time a youth spends on an EM is significantly less than the average length of stay in congregate care. In fact, in the same time period the average length of service for congregate care was 5 months while the ALOS for EM is 23 days.

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?
 Yes (If yes, skip to section D.) Partially No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?

D. Program 3 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	Total
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	0	4	7	0	0	0	0	11
STSJP-RTA	0	0			20	0	0	0	20
Total	0	0	4	7	20	0	0	0	31

PROGRAM 4

A. Program 4 Contact Information

Program 4 Name: Accountability Circles

Operating Agency: Genesee Orleans Council on Alcoholism and Substance Abuse

Program Mailing Address: 430 East Main St.

Address Line 2:

City: Batavia

State: NY

Zip Code: 14020

Program Contact's Name: Sheri Bensley

Title: Assistant Director of Prevention

Phone: (585) 331-8742

Ext:

Email: sbensley@gcasa.org

B. Program 4 Description and Target Population

1. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021. Yes No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

3. Please list the zip codes this program will target:

All zip codes in Genesee County

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered “yes” to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them.

Accountability Circles provides education to 16 and 17 year old RTA at risk youth, regarding the dangers of drinking and drug use, in addition to personal discussion about the impact of their use on themselves and others. They are facilitated by a trained prevention educator with participation from community members. After the initial discussion, if a participant appears to be in need of further drug or alcohol treatment, a referral will be made.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? Yes No

C. Program 4 Performance History (Refer to your municipality’s STSJP data files.)

1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020?

None (If none, skip to section D.) STSJP STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

3. Based on the program’s record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:

There have not been any RTA Youth referred to this program from 10/1/19 to 3/31/20.

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJP	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
STSJP-RTA	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.
 There have not been any RTA Youth referred to this program from 10/1/19 to 3/31/20.

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?
 Yes (If yes, skip to section D.) Partially No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?
 There have not been any RTA Youth referred to this program from 10/1/19 to 3/31/20.

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.
 There have not been any RTA Youth referred to this program from 10/1/19 to 3/31/20.

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?
 There have not been any RTA Youth referred to this program from 10/1/19 to 3/31/20.

D. Program 4 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STSJP-RTA	7	0			0	0	0	0	7
Total	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

PROGRAM	5
A. Program 5 Contact Information	
Program 5 Name: Teen Intervene	
Operating Agency: Genesee County Council on Alcoholism and Substance Abuse	
Program Mailing Address: 430 East Main St	
Address Line 2:	
City: Batavia	State: NY Zip Code: 14020
Program Contact's Name: Sheri Bensley	Title: Assistant Director of Prevention
Phone: (585) 331-8742 Ext:	Email: sbensley@gcasa.org
B. Program 5 Description and Target Population	
1. This program meets the legal definition of a Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m) and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

3. Please list the zip codes this program will target:
All zip codes in Genesee County.

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered “yes” to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them.

Teen Intervene are one hour sessions, for which youth attend up to 6 sessions, and it provides education, support and guidance for at risk Raise the Age 16 and 17 year olds (who are experiencing mild to moderate problems associated with alcohol or other drug use) and their parents. Youth work one to one with a prevention specialist in identifying and changing their choices and behaviors. Youth participate in 3 one hour sessions that are conducted one week apart. The first two sessions are with the youth and the third session includes the parents.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? Yes No

C. Program 5 Performance History (Refer to your municipality's STSJP data files.)

1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020?
 None (If none, skip to section D.) STSJP STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:
There have not been any RTA Youth referred to this program from 10/1/19 to 3/31/20.

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJP	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
STSJP-RTA	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.

There have not been any RTA Youth referred to this program from 10/1/19 to 3/31/20.

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?

Yes (If yes, skip to section D.) Partially No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?

There have not been any RTA Youth referred to this program from 10/1/19 to 3/31/20.

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.

There have not been any RTA Youth referred to this program from 10/1/19 to 3/31/20.

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?

There have not been any RTA Youth referred to this program from 10/1/19 to 3/31/20.

D. Program 5 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STSJP-RTA	4	0			0	0	0	0	4
Total	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4

PROGRAM 6

A. Program 6 Contact Information

Program 6 Name:

Operating Agency:

Program Mailing Address:

Address Line 2:

City: State: **NY** Zip Code:

Program Contact's Name: Title:

Phone: () Ext: Email:

B. Program 6 Description and Target Population

1. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021. Yes No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

3. Please list the zip codes this program will target:

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered “yes” to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? Yes No

C. Program 6 Performance History (Refer to your municipality’s STSJP data files.)

1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020?
 None (If none, skip to section D.) STSJP STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP									
STSJP-RTA									
Total									

3. Based on the program’s record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJP								
STSJP-RTA								

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.

6. Will this program’s outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?
 Yes (If yes, skip to section D.) Partially No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?
8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.
9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?

D. Program 6 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP									
STSJP-RTA									
Total									

PROGRAM 7

A. Program 7 Contact Information

Program 7 Name: _____

Operating Agency: _____

Program Mailing Address: _____

Address Line 2: _____

City: _____ State: **NY** Zip Code: _____

Program Contact's Name: _____ Title: _____

Phone: () _____ Ext: _____ Email: _____

B. Program 7 Description and Target Population

1. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021. Yes No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

3. Please list the zip codes this program will target:

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered "yes" to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? Yes No

C. Program 7 Performance History (Refer to your municipality's STSJP data files.)

1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020?
 None (If none, skip to section D.) STSJP STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type		ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	Total
	P	EI	(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP									
STSJP-RTA									
Total									

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type		ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
	P	EI	(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJP								
STSJP-RTA								

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?
 Yes (If yes, skip to section D.) Partially No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?

D. Program 7 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP									
STSJP-RTA									
Total									

PROGRAM	8																					
A. Program 8 Contact Information																						
Program 8 Name:																						
Operating Agency:																						
Program Mailing Address:																						
Address Line 2:																						
City:	State: NY Zip Code:																					
Program Contact's Name:	Title:																					
Phone: ()	Ext: Email:																					
B. Program 8 Description and Target Population																						
1. This program meets the legal definition of a Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m) and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No																						
2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:																						
<table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 10%;">STSJP</th> <th style="width: 10%;">STSJP-RTA</th> <th></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Prevention (P)</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Early Intervention (EI)</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Alternative to Placement (ATP)</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Indirect Services</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		STSJP	STSJP-RTA		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services
STSJP	STSJP-RTA																					
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)																				
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)																				
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)																				
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)																				
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)																				
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services																				
3. Please list the zip codes this program will target:																						
4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered "yes" to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them.																						
5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No																						
C. Program 8 Performance History (Refer to your municipality's STSJP data files.)																						
1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020? <input type="checkbox"/> None (If none, skip to section D.) <input type="checkbox"/> STSJP <input type="checkbox"/> STSJP-RTA																						

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							ATP	R/A	Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP							
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)				
STSJP										
STSJP-RTA										
Total										

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							ATP	R/A
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP						
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP									
STSJP-RTA									

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?
 Yes (If yes, skip to section D.) Partially No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?

D. Program 8 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							ATP	R/A	Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP							
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)				
STSJP										
STSJP-RTA										
Total										

PROGRAM 9

A. Program 9 Contact Information

Program 9 Name:

Operating Agency:

Program Mailing Address:		
Address Line 2:		
City:	State: NY	Zip Code:
Program Contact's Name:		Title:
Phone: ()	Ext:	Email:

B. Program 9 Description and Target Population

1. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021. Yes No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

3. Please list the zip codes this program will target:

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered “yes” to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? Yes No

C. Program 9 Performance History (Refer to your municipality's STSJP data files.)

1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020?
 None (If none, skip to section D.) STSJP STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							Total	
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP		R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP									
STSJP-RTA									
Total									

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							ATP	R/A
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP						
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP									
STSJP-RTA									

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?
 Yes (If yes, skip to section D.) Partially No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?

D. Program 9 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP									
STSJP-RTA									
Total									

PROGRAM 10

A. Program 10 Contact Information

Program 10 Name: _____

Operating Agency: _____

Program Mailing Address: _____

Address Line 2: _____

City: _____ State: **NY** Zip Code: _____

Program Contact's Name: _____ Title: _____

Phone: () _____ Ext: _____ Email: _____

B. Program 10 Description and Target Population

1. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021. Yes No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:

STJSJP	STJSJP-RTA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

3. Please list the zip codes this program will target:

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered “yes” to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? Yes No

C. Program 10 Performance History (Refer to your municipality’s STJSJP data files.)

1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020?
 None (If none, skip to section D.) STJSJP STJSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STJSJP									
STJSJP-RTA									
Total									

3. Based on the program’s record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STJSJP								
STJSJP-RTA								

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.

6. Will this program’s outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?
 Yes (If yes, skip to section D.) Partially No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?
8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.
9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?

D. Program 10 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP									
STSJP-RTA									
Total									

PROGRAM 11

A. Program 11 Contact Information

Program 11 Name:

Operating Agency:

Program Mailing Address:

Address Line 2:

City: State: **NY** Zip Code:

Program Contact's Name: Title:

Phone: () Ext: Email:

B. Program 11 Description and Target Population

1. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021. Yes No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

3. Please list the zip codes this program will target:

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered "yes" to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? Yes No

C. Program 11 Performance History (Refer to your municipality's STSJP data files.)

1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020?
 None (If none, skip to section D.) STSJP STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type		ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	Total
	P	EI	(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
	STSJP								
STSJP-RTA									
Total									

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type		ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
	P	EI	(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
	STSJP							
STSJP-RTA								

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?
 Yes (If yes, skip to section D.) Partially No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?

D. Program 11 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type		ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	Total
	P	EI	(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
	STSJP								
STSJP-RTA									
Total									

PROGRAM 12

A. Program 12 Contact Information

Program 12 Name:
 Operating Agency:
 Program Mailing Address:
 Address Line 2:
 City: State: **NY** Zip Code:
 Program Contact's Name: Title:
 Phone: () Ext: Email:

B. Program 12 Description and Target Population

1. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021. Yes No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

3. Please list the zip codes this program will target:

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered "yes" to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? Yes No

C. Program 12 Performance History (Refer to your municipality's STSJP data files.)

1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020?
 None (If none, skip to section D.) STSJP STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							Total	
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP		R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP									
STSJP-RTA									
Total									

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJP								
STSJP-RTA								

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?

Yes (If yes, skip to section D.) Partially No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?

D. Program 12 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP									
STSJP-RTA									
Total									

PART III – Goals for PY 2020-2021

Please set the municipality's goals for its programs to achieve in PY 2020-2021. State-required outcomes have been included with space for you to add any locally collected outcomes. Goals are focused by service type and should reflect the percentage of youth expected to achieve the outcome described. Note: Outcomes are only recorded for youth once they have left the program; this may represent a subset of all the youth served during the period.

Prevention

STSJP	STSJP RTA	Outcomes
%	90	% of youth will have no PINS referrals during service engagement
%	90	% of youth will have no trancies during service engagement
%	90	% of youth will have no school suspensions during service engagement
%	90	% of youth will have no arrests or probation intakes during service engagement
%	90	% of youth will be able to identify at least one accessible, positive adult connection *(new)
%	90	% of youth will be engaged in at least one positive community activity *(new)
%	90	% of youth will comply with program rules
%	90	% of youth will attend at least 90 percent of programming

Other, locally collected outcomes:				
90% of youth will abstain from using substances.				
Early Intervention				
STSJP		STSJP RTA		Outcomes
75	%		%	of youth will have no PINS referrals during service engagement
75	%		%	of youth will have no trancies during service engagement
90	%		%	of youth will have no school suspensions during service engagement
90	%		%	of youth will have no arrests or probation intakes during service engagement
90	%		%	of youth will have their cases successfully adjusted/diverted during service engagement
95	%		%	of youth will be able to identify at least one accessible, positive adult connection * (new)
95	%		%	of youth will be engaged in at least one positive community activity * (new)
90	%		%	of youth will comply with program rules
90	%		%	of youth will attend at least 90 percent of programming
Other, locally collected outcomes:				
Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement				
STSJP		STSJP RTA		Outcomes
95	%	95	%	of youth will have no missed court appearances during service engagement
95	%	95	%	of youth will have no warrants issued during service engagement
95	%	95	%	of youth will have no arrests or probation intakes during service engagement
95	%	95	%	of youth will have no detention or jail admissions during service engagement
95	%	95	%	of PINS will have no pre-dispositional placements during service engagement
95	%	95	%	of youth will be able to identify at least one accessible, positive adult connection * (new)
95	%	95	%	of youth will be engaged in at least one positive community activity * (new)
95	%	95	%	of youth will comply with program rules
95	%	95	%	of youth will attend at least 90 percent of programming
Other, locally collected outcomes:				
Alternative to Placement				
STSJP		STSJP RTA		Outcomes
95	%		%	of youth will have no warrants issued during service engagement
95	%		%	of youth will have no arrests or probation intakes during service engagement
95	%		%	of youth will have no detention or jail admissions during service engagement
95	%		%	of PINS will have no pre-dispositional placements during service engagement
95	%		%	of youth will have no violations of probation filed during service engagement
95	%		%	of youth will have no new placements during service engagement
95	%		%	of youth will be able to identify at least one accessible, positive adult connection * (new)
95	%		%	of youth will be engaged in at least one positive community activity * (new)
95	%		%	of youth will comply with program rules
95	%		%	of youth will attend at least 90 percent of programming
Other, locally collected outcomes:				
Overall the youth in this program will see an increase in their G.P.A. by 15% and see a decrease in their days absent from school by 75%.				

Reentry / Aftercare				
STSJP		STSJP RTA		Outcomes
	%		%	of youth will have no warrants issued during service engagement
	%		%	of youth will have no arrests or probation intakes during service engagement
	%		%	of youth will have no detention or jail admissions during service engagement
	%		%	of PINS will have no pre-dispositional placements during service engagement
	%		%	of youth will have no new placements during service engagement
	%		%	of youth will have no returns to their previous placements during service engagement
	%		%	of youth will be able to identify at least one accessible, positive adult connection * (new)
	%		%	of youth will be engaged in at least one positive community activity * (new)
	%		%	of youth will comply with program rules
	%		%	of youth will attend at least 90 percent of programming
Other, locally collected outcomes:				

PART IV – FUNDING

A. Anticipated Program Expenses and Funding Distribution

Program Name & Service Types	STSJP					STSJP-RTA
	Detention Allocation Shifted	PY20-21 STSJP Allocation	Total Expenses (100%)	Local Share (38%)	State Share (62%)	State Share (100%)
1 S.T.A.R. LLC	\$68,627.00	\$20,849.50	\$144,316.93	\$54,840.43	\$89,476.50	
Prevention						
Early Intervention						
ATD/ATPDP						
ATP	\$68,627.00	\$20,849.50	\$144,316.93	\$54,840.43	\$89,476.50	
Reentry/Aftercare						
Indirect						
2 PINS Diversion		\$21,700.00	\$35,000.00	\$13,300.00	\$21,700.00	
Prevention						
Early Intervention		\$21,700.00	\$35,000.00	\$13,300.00	\$21,700.00	
ATD/ATPDP						
ATP						
Reentry/Aftercare						
Indirect						
3 Electronic Monitoring		\$5,719.50	\$9,225.00	\$3,505.50	\$5,719.50	\$11,275.00
Prevention						
Early Intervention						
ATD/ATPDP		\$5,719.50	\$9,225.00	\$3,505.50	\$5,719.50	\$11,275.00
ATP						
Reentry/Aftercare						
Indirect						
4 Accountability Circles						\$350.00
Prevention						\$350.00
Early Intervention						
ATD/ATPDP						
ATP						
Reentry/Aftercare						
Indirect						
5 Teen Intervene						\$952.00
Prevention						\$952.00
Early Intervention						
ATD/ATPDP						
ATP						
Reentry/Aftercare						
Indirect						

Program Name & Service Types	STSJP					STSJP-RTA
	Detention Allocation Shifted	PY20-21 STSJP Allocation	Total Expenses (100%)	Local Share (38%)	State Share (62%)	State Share (100%)
6						
Prevention						
Early Intervention						
ATD/ATPDP						
ATP						
Reentry/Aftercare						
Indirect						
7						
Prevention						
Early Intervention						
ATD/ATPDP						
ATP						
Reentry/Aftercare						
Indirect						
8						
Prevention						
Early Intervention						
ATD/ATPDP						
ATP						
Reentry/Aftercare						
Indirect						
9						
Prevention						
Early Intervention						
ATD/ATPDP						
ATP						
Reentry/Aftercare						
Indirect						
10						
Prevention						
Early Intervention						
ATD/ATPDP						
ATP						
Reentry/Aftercare						
Indirect						

Program Name & Service Types	STSJP					STSJP-RTA
	Detention Allocation Shifted	PY20-21 STSJP Allocation	Total Expenses (100%)	Local Share (38%)	State Share (62%)	State Share (100%)
11						
Prevention						
Early Intervention						
ATD/ATPDP						
ATP						
Reentry/Aftercare						
Indirect						
12						
Prevention						
Early Intervention						
ATD/ATPDP						
ATP						
Reentry/Aftercare						
Indirect						
Sum of Program Totals:	\$68,627.00	\$48,269.00	\$188,541.93	\$71,645.93	\$116,896.00	\$12,577.00

B. STSJP Reimbursement Summary		
STSJP Allocation Amount	\$48,269.00	
Locally Approved Amount of PY 2020-2021 STSJP Allocation	\$48,269.00	
Approved Detention Allocation Shifted	\$68,627.00	
Total Approved for State Reimbursement	\$116,896.00	

C. STSJP-RTA Reimbursement Summary	
STSJP-RTA Approved Plan Amount	\$12,577.00
Total Approved for State Reimbursement	\$12,577.00

PART V – PLAN APPROVAL		
A. Municipality Level Approval – Chief Executive / Administrative Official		
As STSJP Lead for Genesee County, I certify that the Chief Executive/Administrative Official, [Name and Title] L. Matthew Landers, Genesee County Manager, has reviewed and approved the 2020-2021 STSJP Plan.		
User ID: 18a714	Print Name: Ben Dennis	Date: 6/15/2021
B. State Level Approval – OCFS Program Reviewer		
As OCFS STSJP reviewer, I certify that I approve of this STSJP plan for Choose an item. for 2020-2021.		
User ID: IT0911	Print Name: Lynn Tubbs	Date: 6/23/2021